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Abstract: This study considers the increasing importance of learners' speaking performance as well as their formulaic language use, the present study serves to take the formulaic language use and fluency relationship a step further and investigates the extent to which students use formulaic language integrated in the curriculum in multi-task oral proficiency exams and whether the use of formulaic language is related to students' fluency and overall proficiency. It also aims to investigate if there is a correlation with the utilization of formulaic expressions with competence and performance scores overall. The research was conducted to 190 Indonesian students with different ability levels in Ivet University of Semarang. An analysis of the contents of the e-book instructions was carried out and further analyzes the content rather than drawing conclusions. Scores achieved by students in fluency and proficiency are analyzed to connect students with the utilization of the competence of formula expressions and their overall skills.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Ur, 1996 [19] suggest about of all the four skills (listening, speaking, reading and writing), speaking seems intuitively the most important: people who know a language are referred to as speakers of that language, as if speaking included all other kinds of knowing; and many if not most foreign language learners are primarily interested in learning to speak. When verbal communication of students increases, automated student can overcome the problems in communication. One example of the difficulties faced by students in communication language L2 is the lack of Idiomaticity in talks that they convey where it is a measure of mastery of a foreign language. Formulaic language has many different definitions of which have been submitted by researchers (eg, Granger, 1998; Schmitt & Carter, 2004; Wood, 2002) [4], [18], [21]. Generally speaking, it can be concluded that formulaic language is multi-word structures that can be formed or reused as a whole. Although the multi-word units have many different functions, one of the major role that is essential is to encourage communication (Weinert, 1995) [20]. The utilization of formulaic expressions can improve the competence of students in oral communication on the condition that the use of the formula to benefit communication and smoothness that can help students in oral performance test in the same path as suggested in the literary works (eg, Boer, Eyckmans, Kappel, Stengers, & Demecheleer, 2006) [2].

Exposure of formulaic expressions to students is very important and beneficial for the smooth talk. The context of teaching English in Indonesia are learning a foreign language (EFL) in which one of the sources of exposure of students in language words of teachers and e-book instructions according to the curriculum. Students' knowledge of formulaic expressions is centered primarily on their e-book instructions as recommended by Meunier, 2012 [11].

1.1 Background
Considering the increasing importance of learners' speaking performance as well as their formulaic language use, the present study serves to take the formulaic language use and fluency relationship a step further and investigates the extent to which students use formulaic language integrated in the curriculum in multi-task oral proficiency exams and whether the use of formulaic language is related to students' fluency and overall proficiency. This study targets to explore the utilization of formulaic language students and students spoke relation to competence in oral performance exam and whether the utilization of formulaic expressions also affects the competence of students talking and overall performance scores. Formulaic language is generally defined as multi-word units are reused as a single unit (Myles, Hooper & Mitchell, 1998; Nattinger & DeCarrico, 1992; Wray, 2002) [12], [13], [21]. Expressions contained in this formula proved to be useful for language users. Therefore, Weinert, 1995 [20] suggested the use of formula language is important in the function to communicate, speech production and learning strategies. Wray and Perkins, 2000 [25] has stated that the formula language directly contribute to the comprehension and production. The use of language is strongly recommended formula to assist the hearer to set the speaker discourse and production, enabling the speaker to establish information and provide time to process this information. Languages formula also has other effects on production in favor of eloquence by reducing the processing load in mind when he spoke. Wood, 2006 [22] have suggested that the use of formula language can improve the smoothness by making shorter pause and
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makes his way to talk between a longer pause. Wood, 2010 [23] have also defined competence as "an effective use of language" (p. 9). Wood, 2010 [23] has explained how the formula language is processed in mind to facilitate competence refers to the use of formula language with competence relationship. Formula language are automatically processed as a single entity in which he helped the expression language can emerge smoothly in a short time. Exposure assumed formula language is very important for students to learn a second language to facilitate communication importance of students and function formula language used and its impact on language teaching and testing. One of the main input source for students is the textbook, where e-book instructionsare often the only source of exposure for students EFL formula language (Biber, Conrad and Cortes, 2004; Meunier, 2012) [1], [11]. Exposure to the same input source can not ensure the production of the target language is the same formula as well. This is due to the level of performance that each student is different, depending on their knowledge of a second language. This is in line with that recommended by the (eg, Howarth, 1998; Ohlrogge 2009; Yorio, 1989) [6], [15], [27] about the differences in language use different formulas depending on skill level. The overall findings suggested that the use of formulaic language is related to native-like language use to a great extent. Myles, Hooper and Mitchell (1998) [12] have also investigated the effect of formulaic language on language learning in a longitudinal study. The study was carried out with 16 child beginner learners of French and the researchers concluded that the use of formulaic language promotes the entry to communication and increases the speed of speech production at early stages of learning. In another study, Dickinson (2012) [3] has examined whether teaching formulaic language has an effect on the students' academic presentation skills and found that all the participants in the study improved their presentation skills regardless of their proficiency level. Overall, these studies have focused on two important components of foreign language education: measuring oral performance and teaching by putting emphasis on the importance of formulaic language instruction in language classes and the role these expressions play in oral performance which is mostly measured by oral proficiency exams. In a research performed out by two groups of ESL students, Yorio (1989) [27] has proposed that "the higher the level of linguistic performance, the higher the level idiomatisitas" (p. 65). Similarly, Neary-Sundquist (2013) [14] investigated the use of pragmatic markers by students of different skill levels and concluded that the level of performance leads to a significant difference in the use of this expression. Although it is recommended to determine the performance level of language use formula. Thus, this study may contribute to the existing research by providing how formulaic language is used by language learners in a learning context.

2 RESEARCH METHOD
This descriptive study aimed to investigate the extent to which the use of formula language Indonesian EFL students in examinations in verbal ability test that includes multi-task. Another objective of this study is to explore whether there is a relationship between the use of formulaic expressions and eloquence, focused on competence and performance.

2.1 Research Setting and Participants
This research was conducted at the University of Ivet Semarang is located in Semarang, Indonesia. This private university preparation program provides English language shall be one year for undergraduate students in study program Early Childhood Education Teacher. Performance test given at the beginning of each academic year to evaluate students' knowledge of English and those who scored 60 or more than 100 pass exams and continue their studies in their departments. Students who fail the exam are placed in classes according to their skill level and learning English intensively for a year. There are three levels of performance in PG-ECD Program: level B, C and D (from highest to lowest) and students are expected to have the same skill level, namely the level of A2 according to the description of the Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR). Student success is largely determined by the final performance test designed to assess knowledge of grammar and vocabulary students as well as oral and written their appearance. The students are required to take and pass the exam to complete the preparatory program. The reason for choosing this particular school is the feasibility and convenience as it provides samples to researchers and is one of the few public universities to perform oral performance exam as part of the exam. Their skills and oral performance exam is recorded to be kept in the school archives. In addition, the course book corpus-based formula that includes many expressions used in this school. This book presents an example of how this expression is used in communication through dialogue, role play and exercise. Therefore, the students see the expression in a context; practice their use and do role-playing activities galore to produce the language they are exposed to in the classroom. Study participants were 190 students of various performance levels of performance final exams at the end of the academic year 2017 - 2018. Researchers have never met directly for research participants do based on archived data. Having received the necessary permission from the university, the school's researchers used archival footage and oral performance examination assessment sheet. He is the only person allowed to use the school records at the time of data collection and do not have access to the personal information of students. The participants were randomly selected for this study. However, to avoid the effects of other variables that may intervene, the only selection criteria related to the tasks of playing the role given to the work partner. There are ten different communication tasks in oral performance exam and two of these were selected because they are similar in terms of speech acts. The study participants consisted of students who do two tasks play this role.

2.2 Research Instruments
To determine the extent to which the formula language included in the curriculum through e-book instructions, content analysis is done. For this purpose, researcher employed the formula language continuum framework due to the fact that the formula speeches and utterances bound more situations than any kind of expression other formulas in this book. Therefore, this study focuses on two categories and Ortaçtepe, 2013 [16] study referred to identify the expression of formulas in the e-book instructions. Due to the school's curriculum is based on the communicative approach, which provides a lot of communicative practices, has been used for
three years. It is a corpus-based textbook that was written by a corpus of North American English in the Cambridge International Corpus. Words and phrases most often, a combination of words and conversational strategies of corpus included in this book. The book consists of four series, but the first three series are used in school until the end of the academic year. While the two series are taught to students' level of B, C and D level students are taught all three series. Oral performance exam has been developed in accordance with the principles of the communicative approach and the curriculum taught in the institution. Thus, the oral performance of students assessed periodically in midterm and final exams, and students were given a variety of tasks an individual and pairs in this exam. In terms of the final exam, students are mixed because they have the same exit level and they perform tasks individually and in pairs during the assessment. Two assessors assess oral performance in each exam room through the different sessions and examinations in accordance with sections recorded to be stored in the archives. Oral performance exam is 40% of the overall evaluation of performance in these institutions. In this study, the archive data evaluation form used by the assessor to assess the students' oral performance for oral performance exam are employed. This column was developed by the Office coordinator Talk from the same institution in accordance with the description of the CEFR levels A2 and that includes five items that Fluent and Fluent, Vocabulary, Grammar and Accuracy Range Resolution Assignment and understanding (see Appendix C). The lowest score that can be set for each item is 1 point, while the highest score is 5 points. As a Total Score, the assessor may define up to 25 points and the average value of the two appraisers for each student is assigned a final grade. To ensure inter-rater reliability, norming session before oral performance exam conducted and as a result of the negotiations in one of these sessions, a principle has been established: acceptable difference between the values of the assessors. Assessor may be up to 3 points. Oral performance exam students are recorded and stored in the archive as part of the assessment procedure in institutions in which research is conducted. In this study, 95 final exam video tape belonging to students from the academic year 2017 - 2018 was chosen to analyze the utilization of formulaic expressions students. The duration of each video is about 15 minutes and they included interviews with two high school students preparing for exams oral performance which consists of two parts. In the first, each student perform individual tasks with the guidance of his interlocutors, and in the second part, the two students interact with each other to complete a communicative task that is based on real life situations. In particular, task given the final oral exam consists of the ability of the task image description to the individual tasks and activities play a role for the task in pairs. In each test session, there are two different images for each partner for individual task and one task to task communication partner. Because the current study participants were students who took the exams in two different sessions, there are four pictures for individual tasks and two assignments for the role play pairs in total. Overall, 95 videos of 190 students with different performance levels used in this study. Students are randomly paired with students of the same level of performance or higher performance level or lower.

2.3 Data Collection Procedures
After determining the design of the study, researchers first sought permission from the Directorate of Ivet University Semarang to use archived data for this study. When it acquired the necessary permission, researchers examined the records of evaluation sheets and video recordings final exam of the academic year 2017 - 2018. After the instruments and materials for the study were gathered, recorded video selected at random to determine the number of participants. To track the use of the participants of language formula, a graph was developed by researchers for each student using the framework of the continuum of formulaic language. After this process, three e-book instructions students analyzed to create a list of formulas expressions in the book. The reason for this procedure is to determine whether students use the expression targets they have been exposed in their e-book instructions not. After the selection of participants, performance scores (i.e., the total score of language performance test administered at the end of the academic year), also noted in the evaluation chart to communicate data to one of the research questions. The next step is to analyze the video recording based on this goal. Researchers listen to recorded videos for each student and write expressions that they produce. The process is repeated for each of the two tasks, for each individual and for each student is paired with another friend. Video analysis confirmed the reliability of other researchers who have been trained to analyze 10% of the 95 videos were used in this study. Both researchers agree to use the formula language students through a comparison of video content analysis, a list of the target language formula (frequency expression in the teaching book), the evaluation chart (frequency expression used by students) are compared and the way students use formula language is recorded. The data generated from this analysis are collected and refers to the value of 5 will be obtained students by rubric of competence so that links the utilization of formulaic expressions with competence. performance scores will be announced to each student at the end of the academic year in which it considers the relationship of language use formula with language performance of students through analysis of quantitative data. Figure 1 below shows the data collection procedures.

2.4 Data Analysis
In this study, quantitative data analysis is used to determine
the extent to which students use the formula language performance exam oral and the relationship between the use of formula language with competence and performance level. Data video recording and evaluation sheet student test are then collected and analyzed quantitatively using version 20 of the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). First of all the researchers conducted a content analysis of the formula language in e-book instructions and then researchers compared the data with an expression used by students in the test to check whether there is a match in their frequency. Researchers then distinguish the use of student expression accurate and inaccurate in the book. The same data is used to analyze the use of language formula participants in individual tasks and in pairs. Researchers then determine the type of task, where students are using the formula language. In the final stages the result that the utilization of formulaic expressions students and test scores are used to assess the competence and pronunciation components assessment rubric. In answer to the second problem formulation to analyze the use of language students score formula then set as the final language performance score to determine whether the student passed or need to repeat.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results of the data analysis is then compiled by the research questions to better illustrate the research findings.

3.1 Students’ Strategy in the Use of Formula Language as Taught in the Curriculum and when following Oral Performance Test

Analysis of e-book instructions is carried out to investigate the amount of the resulting expression formula language formula language which consists of speeches and greeting formula relating to the specific situation. Then from these data we can conclude how the formula language instruction in the curriculum can be used by the students. Each frequency of occurrence is then calculated. Then the student oral performance test recordings were analyzed and frequencies formula language produced by the students can be. In the end, each the result of the analysis of content compared with the correlation test. The results of the content analysis revealed that in terms of the type of language formula, students are able to use the formula speech than at the time of oral performance exam with the word that is bound to the situation. The use of two different types of languages that formula because the formula language to speak is not specific, according to the context of each situation. Input is given to students may spur utterances bound situation in a specific context. Students are able to use the 87 formula speak very different frequencies used 1010 teaching guide contains 112 speeches a very different formula to all the frequencies of 1745 and also contained 87 speech frequencies formula used by the students for 1165 in the book. Students are also able to use 47 utterances bound completely different situation with frequency 288. The teaching guide also contains 116 utterances bound completely different situation with the overall frequency of 338. There are 47 frequencies used idioms students according to the book of 165. The results of this analysis indicate that the use of the formula speeches by students are less capable of matching with that of the formula language teaching e-book instructions. There is much use of sayings that are bound by the situation of the students were outside their frequency in e-book instructions.

Other findings regarding usage-bound utterances of the situation is that students create a preference between utterances bound-situation and the students were able to use phrases that apply to situations regulated. Although the students to use the language in communication formula to a certain extent, they have not been able to use to the same level. Based on the data in Table 1 and 2 it can be concluded that the frequency of 30 speeches and 30 greeting formula-bound situation as taught in e-book instructions as well as the frequency of use of the expression by students.

**TABLE 1. FREQUENCY COMPARISON FORMULA IN SPEECH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of speech</th>
<th>Token in The book</th>
<th>Token Use of Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All right</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are not you a little too young for that?</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you crazy?</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That's all</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hello</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was wondering somewhere?</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not I know you from somewhere?</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actually</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As far away as possible</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I'm sorry</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bingo</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thanks</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Break it up</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you know</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Come on in</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Come on</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Come in</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, of course</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Really</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congratulations</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What can I do for you?</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would it be OK with you</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did I ever!</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I wanted to ...</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not get me started on that!</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anyway</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I'm not sure</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everybody hands up and face the wall</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy now</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Table 1 can be revealed that the list of languages that formula is the formula of speech most frequently used
include May and I think where the phrase is mostly used in individual tasks. Sentence OK also used in the task pair. There are several examples of the use of these expressions by students below:

Description Individual tasks are asked to describe the picture supermarket visits
S1: There are a lot of people passing on the market. Some women trying to find something. Two of them wore green T-shirts. One wore a bag and the other carrying a basket. The woman bought a lot of vegetables such as carrots, cabbage, and beans. Looks like she looks exhausted after shopping.

Speaker: How do they feel?
S1: Looks like buyers in the table have been exhausted and did the woman next to him. They bought a lot of stuff. Then there were two men in the back of the store but they just look around.

Speaker: What will the man do next?
S1: I think he will come home. Looks like he'll hold an event and make a lot of food for children. A party perhaps.

Speaker: What's going on here?
S1: Looks like buyers in the table have been exhausted and did the woman next to him. They bought a lot of stuff. Then there were two men in the back of the store but they just look around.

Based on existing data in Tables 1 and 2 it can be concluded that students using the formula language to a particular amount as taught in the book and at the time of the exam. The students are able to produce expression formula language as taught from e-book instructions as well as phrases that are not contained in the book (for example, Yes, of course, Bye, call me later, please, call me back later, Fine, thanks, and you?). Students are also able to incorporate some of the expressions and create new expressions or use expressions that have been studied previously (eg, Fine, thanks, and you?). Researchers also found that there are some unused formula language from the list of the target language formula.

3.2 The Relationship between Students’ Use of English Formulaic Expressions with the Acquisition Values of Eloquence

To determine whether there is a relationship in language use formulas to value the performance of spoken competence students are assessed by two assessors using standards developed in accordance with the Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR). CEFR is used in accordance with the recommendation of English language performance assessment in other European countries or countries foreign language users. The assessment rubric ranges include Vocabulary, Grammar & Accuracy Ranges, Task Completion and understanding and competence. Values obtained by the student will be considered in the formulation of research-related answer. This assessment was made standard in the highest value score of 5. Correlation SPSS is done to check whether there is a relationship between the utilization of formulaic expressions students and their competence scores. First of all the descriptive statistics were calculated for related variables and normality test to test whether the broad distribution of the variables normal unit as a result of descriptive statistics. The complete result that each variable has a significant value; thus, they are not normally distributed, with the asymmetry of 1.36 (SE = 0.17) for the use of formula language and -0.63 (SE = 0.17) for the smooth and kurtosis of 2.44 (SE = 0.35) to use the formula language and 0.55 (SE = 0.35) for proper operation. Shapiro-Wilk normality test to confirm an abnormality due to the significance level is 0.000.
The complete result that each variable has a significant value; thus, they are not normally distributed, with the asymmetry of 1.36 (SE = 0.17) for the use of formula language and -0.63 (SE = 0.17) for the smooth and kurtosis of 2.44 (SE = 0.35 ) to use the formula language and 0.55 (SE = 0.35) for proper operation. Shapiro-Wilk normality test to confirm an abnormality due to the significance level is 0.000. The complete result that each variable has a significant value; thus, they are not normally distributed, with the asymmetry of 1.36 (SE = 0.17) for the use of formula language and -0.63 (SE = 0.17) for the smooth and kurtosis of 2.44 (SE = 0.35 ) to use the formula language and 0.55 (SE = 0.35) for proper operation. Shapiro-Wilk normality test to confirm an abnormality due to the significance level is 0.000. Statistical examination performed to visualize the correlation of variables to determiner results of Skewness and Kurtosis value and also because of the normality examination. Then the correlation is calculated Spearman rank order correlation test and concluded that there was a significant relationship between the utilization of formulaic expressions students and student competence scores (r (188) = 0.406, p <.01). The results of this correlation test showed that the utilization of formulaic expressions of the students have contributed to the smooth running of their value in the test to a certain extent. Correlation formula language use and competence can be described via Figure 2.

3.3 The Relationship between the use of Formulaic Expressions and Students’ Performance Overall Score in Speech
To investigate the relationship between the use of formula language students with their ability scores the correlation check performed by using SPSS. For this purpose, the formulation of the language of the students summed and calculated overall ability scores. Scores of student success at the top of the summed averaged with alternative words in one school year. Then to examine variables in the ownership of the normal distribution used descriptive statistics and normality tests. Output descriptive statistics reveal that every variable that is non-normally distributed, with an imbalance of 1:36 (SE = 0.17) for the formula language use and -0.49 (SE = 0.17) for the ability and kurtosis of 2:44 (SE = 0.35 ) to use the formula language and 0.68 (SE = 0.35) for the acquisition. The results of the Shapiro-Wilk normality examination confirmed the existence of the non-normality of the variables because there’s a significance level of .000 and .015 formula language use to score the level of ability. Descriptive statistics also generate imbalance kurtosis values and also normality check. Where it is evident that the variables are connected does not have a normal distribution, so the Spearman rank order correlation check performed to calculate their correlation. Non-parametric test results have found the main connection between the utilization of formulaic expressions skills of students and their scores (r (188) = .455, p <.01). Figure 3 shows the strength of this correlation.

There is a significant correlation in which it indicates that the use of formula language is closely related to the overall language performance. The results of correlation test was intended to examine the relationship between variables and show that there is a positive relationship between the use of formula language and competence of students with overall performance scores.

4 DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Indonesian EFL Students’ Strategies in the Use of Formulaic Expressions taught in their Current Curriculum when taking Oral Performance Test
Formulaic language plays very important role in the development of pragmatic abilities and speech production where authentic source inputs such as indigenous people is crucial to the acquisition of the said structure (Wood, 2002) [21]. The main ingredient in accordance SLA real language within the context of the situation that occurred is an authentic document, e-book instructions, teacher’s lecture. E-book instructions become a very significant source of the primary reference source used students and professors (Meunier, 2012). Although there are totally different views on the effectiveness of the e-book instructions used in the supply of exposure to real language use. While some previous researchers (eg, Boulton, 2010; Burton, 2012; Meunier & Gouverneur, 2007) have suggested that the use of e-book instructions does not seem effective in representing the use of language which is important because the book has limitations in the use of expressions. Meunier (2012) have suggested the use of material from Cambridge University Press can be given
as examples of partners to use information corpus which is very important because it represents a real language use and capable of delivering some authentic examples related to the language used in speech. The findings generated in this study are consistent with what is proposed in the literature formula language to use and the frequency of exposure to language teaching formula language (eg, Ellis, 2002; Wood, 2002; Wray, 2000). At first, Wood (2002) has been advised of the importance of language formula in improving the smoothness and the development of pragmatic abilities so that it can be concluded that repeated exposure to authentic very important for language acquisition formula. The fact that this book presents a lot of expression were also commonly used formulas in the use of natural language and students often use this expression than other sources suggested more support what Wood (2002). In the frequency of occurrence can be concluded that the findings are in tune with what is suggested in the literature (eg, Ellis, Simpson-Vilach & Maynard, 2008; Tekmen & Dalgolu, 2006; Webb, Newton & Chang, 2013). For example, Ellis, Simpson-Vilach and Maynard (2008) have stated that the students tend to know the words in accordance with the formula language they encountered more than any other source. In addition, this study confirm what has been recommended by Webb, Newton and Chang (2013) in their study. They revealed that the co-location can be obtained after 15 sessions, so it needs a lot of input in the form of repeated exposure. Thus, the selection of students’ language may be related to the frequency of their exposure to a particular expression. On the other hand it can be concluded that students also use expressions less often presented in the book in which this can be attributed to the pragmatic function formula language.

4.2 The Relationship between the Use of Formulaic Expressions for Indonesian EFL Students Scores of Competence and Performance

The formulation of the second and third research questions aimed to investigate whether there is a relationship between students’ utilization of formulaic expressions and competence and overall performance scores. To answer the formulation of research questions first then tested the correlation to the use of formula language and competence scores. The same procedure is repeated for the use of formula language and overall performance scores. The findings of this analysis showed a significant association between the use of formula language with competence and overall performance scores. It can be concluded that the fact the relationship of language use formula with eloquence students where it is also suggested by many research and scholars in the literature (eg, Boers et. Al., 2006; Ellis, Simpson-Vilach & Maynard, 2008; Hsu and Chiu, 2008; Khodadady & Shamsae, 2012; Kormos & Denes, 2004; McGuire, 2009; Ortaçtepe, 2013; Pawley & Syder, 1983; Weinert, 1995; Wood, 2002; 2006; 2010). Therefore, the findings of this research line with previous research on the subject. Another example is research conducted by Wood (2006) aims to investigate whether the utilization of formulaic expressions plays an important role in the production of fluent speech in a study conducted with 11 middle-level ESL students. The findings of this study indicate that different types of expression of the formula used by the students and the use of expressions in various situations have led to an increase eloquence. Where is consistent with the results presented by Hsu and Chiu (2008) in their study that examined the relationship between the use of lexical collocation and speech. The study showed a significant relationship between these two variables. In addition, this study also confirms the findings of the study McGuire (2009) where researchers studied the possible effects of task-based language teaching formula to the smooth 19 intermediate and advanced students. The findings McGuire (2009) suggests that formulaic language teaching has an effect on increasing the level of competence.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Research conducted on 190 students EFL Indonesia aims to explore strategies students use the formula language oral performance test multi-task and whether there is a relationship between the use of the formula language with competence and overall performance scores. In this study, a conclusion that can be generated using a formula language EFL students as per the example in the curriculum through the course book that has been taught to students where it is known after the oral performance of students taking the exam. This finding was also recommended that it was the students were able to make choices in a variety of expressions to be used according to the situation and the type of tasks assigned by the lecturer. Where it is also consistent with other findings that show that the use of formula language students strongly associated with each of the eloquence and finesse their overall score. The study's findings are also in accordance with the literature recommends the importance of language formula in teaching languages and function for the development of language (for example, Weinert, 1995; Wray, 2000; Wray & Perkins, 2000; Wood, 2002, 2006; Meunier, 2012; Ortaçtepe, 2013). Based on existing literature, it can be concluded that speaking is a skill that is most significant for students in the field of language to meet the requirements of relevant (Ur, 1996, in Gundogdu, 2008). In addition, the use of formula language is also very helpful in communicating (eg, Weinert, 1995; Wood, 2006; Ortaçtepe, 2013). In this study also concluded that the use of conventional language can provide strategies for students in speech. The conclusion that can be obtained is this study could contribute to the related literature about the benefits of using formula language speaking EFL students in Indonesia's performance. This study is also expected as a useful finding in the pedagogic implications that contribute to the effectiveness of data formula language instruction.
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