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Abstract: Production, selling, consumption and concern of plain set yoghurt show incitement in the last few time periods in Sri Lanka. New plain set yoghurt brands are coming to the Sri Lankan market very quickly too. The aim of this study was an attempt to do sensory evaluation and compare the physical and chemical parameters of some selected plain set yoghurt brands sold in Matara municipal area of Sri Lanka. Five different brands of plain set yoghurt samples were selected on the basis of preliminary survey done. Then all the selected plain set yoghurt samples were test for organoleptic characters such as color, aroma, appearance, thickness, taste, sourness, sweetness and over all acceptability. After that all the selected plain set yoghurt samples were analyzed with their physical and chemical parameters such as syneresis effect, pH, titratable acidity, total protein content. By doing analysis of sensory evaluation, the brand 12 was the least acceptable product while brand 15 was the most acceptable product by thirty untrained panelists. It also revealed that the thickness with appearance and taste had significant influence (p<0.05) on over all acceptability of the plain set yoghurt brand. According to the results obtained, all physico-chemical parameters significantly differ (p<0.05) between the plain set yoghurt brands too. Hence, plain set yoghurt manufacturers must give attention on improvement of thickness, taste and appearance for better consumer acceptance and for better production. Overall plain set yoghurt quality assessment needs good care on quality control during processing.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Plain set yoghurt is a healthy and nutritional food. Assessment of physical and chemical quality of commercialized plain set yoghurt is a current neediness in the present Sri Lankan market because of Lack of data on those quality parameters of different plain set yoghurt brands, because it is very important for health of plain set yoghurt consumers very much [8]. The main role of plain set yoghurt is to provide sufficient and valuable nutrients to consumers. Hence, there is an incitement in the consumption of plain set yoghurt in last years in Sri Lanka due to demand on the nutritional requirements from plain set yoghurt [10]. Plain set yoghurt is made by fermentation of milk with symbiotic culture of Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus which gains by lactic acid [9], [2].

1.1 Benefits and consumer acceptance of plain set yoghurt
Plain set yoghurt is a rich source of calcium. That calcium can bind cancer causing bile acids which can keeps them away from irritable colon wall in consumers. Lactic acid in yoghurt help in the absorption of both calcium and phosphorous from the intestine too [4], [11]. Also plain set yoghurt is a rich source of proteins. Most of the protein in plain set yoghurt is in the digested form.

Hence, those proteins are easier to digest by the consumers [1]. Hence, people are becoming very concern about the quality of plain set yoghurt products [2]. Because now plain set yoghurt consumers tend to be more concern on their health and so demand more on functional foods such as plain set yoghurt. According to their observations, there is an incensement of demand and trend for taste, quality, stability and shelf life of the plain set yoghurt by customers very much.

1.2 Quality of plain set yoghurt and consumer acceptance
The quality of plain set yoghurt is affected by different factors such as the use of different starter cultures, quality of type of milk, different unhygienic conditions and microbiological condition. Milk supplements can effect on the chemical and physical properties of plain set yoghurt directly because of their huge effects on fermentation time, starter culture metabolism and interaction with milk proteins. Because it helps to form the major initial step for the plain set yoghurt gel like network [5]. There is an incensement of popularity and demand of plain set yoghurt produced and sold in present Sri Lanka from different plain set yoghurt manufacturers. Samples of plain set yoghurt collected from different super markets show either inadequate, no or lack of display of the nutritional composition of the products on labels of the different plain set yoghurt brands produced. This study was therefore carried out to determine and compare the physico-chemical and sensory qualities of plain set yoghurt brands sold within Matara municipal area of Sri Lanka.

2 MATERIALS AND METHOD
Preliminary survey was done by giving an questionnaire to the plain set yoghurt customers in different super markets in Matara municipal area. According to those results the popularity of the commercialized plain set yoghurt brands in Matara municipal area of Sri Lanka was in a decreasing order as in following fig.1; Brand 1, Brand 15, Brand 7, Brand 12,
Brand 10. Those brands were selected for the present study.
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**Fig 1.** The graph of percentage of response of customer versus different types of plain set yoghurt brands

### 2.1 Sample collection

Samples of freshly prepared selected plain set yoghurt brands were collected within Matara municipal area of Sri Lanka and transported in an ice box at 4 °C to laboratory of Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, University of Ruhuna, Matara for analyses. The samples were labeled as Brand 1, Brand 15, Brand 7, Brand 12 and Brand 10.

### 2.2 Sensory evaluation

Thirty untrained panelists were evaluated the sensory characters such as color, aroma, appearance, thickness, taste sourness, sweetness, and over all acceptability of the plain set yoghurt brands. They were evaluated those selected plain set yoghurt brands on nine-point hedonic scale ranging from excellent (score=9) to very poor (score=0).

### 2.3 Physico-chemical analysis

Determination of syneresis effect - 15.00 g of plain set yoghurt sample was filtered through a muslin cloth about for about 20 minutes. The volume of drained whey was measured [4]. Determination of pH - 10.00 g of plain set yoghurt sample was dissolved in 200.00 ml of distilled water. pH of the solution was measured by a calibrated pH meter by using pH=4, pH=7 and pH=9 buffer solutions. Determination of Titratable acidity as a percentage of lactic acid - 10.00 g of plain set yoghurt sample was dissolved in 200.00 ml of distilled water. Three drops phenolphthalein was added to 10 ml of that sample and it was titrated with 0.100N NaOH until the color change from greenish blue to orange [11]. Total protein content was calculated by below equation.

$$\text{Total protein content \(\%\) = \(\frac{(1.4 \times V \times M \times 6.25)}{W}\)}$$

Where:

- **V**: Volume of hydrochloric acid required (ml)
- **M**: Normality of hydrochloric acid (moldm⁻³)
- **W**: Weight of the sample (g)

Those parameters were checked for each and every selected plain set yoghurt brand. The overall mean scores of characters of sensory evaluation of plain set yoghurt brands were determined by computing the averages. The data of all physico-chemical parameters were analyzed statistically using analysis of variance (ANOVA) by calculating data with mean values and standard deviations using SPSS (Version 17.0). Significant differences were determined at p-value = 0.05.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Physico-chemical parameters of selected plain set yoghurt brands

**TABLE 1.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>pH value</th>
<th>Titratable acidity (%)</th>
<th>Total protein content (%)</th>
<th>Syneresis effect (mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brand 1</td>
<td>4.50(±0.05)ᵃ</td>
<td>0.42(±0.01)ᵃ</td>
<td>2.48(±0.01)ᵃ</td>
<td>3.32(±0.00)ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand 15</td>
<td>3.64(±0.01)b</td>
<td>0.31(±0.01)b</td>
<td>2.37(±0.00)b</td>
<td>3.63(±0.02)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand 7</td>
<td>4.41(±0.02)c</td>
<td>0.3(±0.00)c</td>
<td>2.26(±0.00)c</td>
<td>4.48(±0.01)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand 12</td>
<td>4.58(±0.04)d</td>
<td>0.5(±0.01)d</td>
<td>2.52(±0.01)d</td>
<td>2.74(±0.03)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand 10</td>
<td>3.89(±0.03)e</td>
<td>0.33(±0.02)e</td>
<td>2.2(±0.04)e</td>
<td>2.15(±0.01)e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃᵇᶜᵈᵉ means in the same column followed by the same letter(s) do not differ significantly at (p-value > 0.05) (mean + SD)

From the Table 1, it shows that pH value, titratable acidity percentage, syneresis effect and total protein content significantly (p-value < 0.05) differ within the plain set yoghurt brands.
3.2 Sensory Evaluation

**TABLE 2. MEAN SCORES OF SENSORY ATTRIBUTES OF PLAIN SET YOGHURT BRANDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brands</th>
<th>Color (±SD)</th>
<th>Taste (±SD)</th>
<th>Thickness (±SD)</th>
<th>Appearance (±SD)</th>
<th>Aroma (±SD)</th>
<th>Soumness (±SD)</th>
<th>Sweetness (±SD)</th>
<th>Overall acceptability (±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.43 (±0.29)</td>
<td>7.54 (±0.29)</td>
<td>7.95 (±0.38)</td>
<td>7.43 (±0.29)</td>
<td>6.54 (±0.72)</td>
<td>5.65 (±3.57)</td>
<td>8.32 (±0.86)</td>
<td>7.42 (±0.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.01 (±0.94)</td>
<td>8.91 (±0.58)</td>
<td>9.90 (±0.45)</td>
<td>9.45 (±0.73)</td>
<td>7.34 (±0.03)</td>
<td>5.98 (±0.53)</td>
<td>8.65 (±1.03)</td>
<td>8.46 (±0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.53 (±1.73)</td>
<td>7.87 (±0.83)</td>
<td>6.68 (±0.83)</td>
<td>8.54 (±0.23)</td>
<td>6.43 (±1.72)</td>
<td>6.21 (±0.53)</td>
<td>8.45 (±0.47)</td>
<td>7.53 (±0.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.06 (±0.28)</td>
<td>5.09 (±0.27)</td>
<td>5.69 (±0.63)</td>
<td>4.58 (±2.45)</td>
<td>5.55 (±1.82)</td>
<td>5.35 (±0.53)</td>
<td>5.79 (±0.86)</td>
<td>7.48 (±0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.54 (±0.23)</td>
<td>7.43 (±0.44)</td>
<td>6.54 (±1.03)</td>
<td>7.32 (±0.56)</td>
<td>6.71 (±1.03)</td>
<td>9.53 (±0.43)</td>
<td>7.21 (±1.03)</td>
<td>5.79 (±0.43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values with different superscripts in the same column show significant differences (p < 0.05).

3.3 Sensory Evaluation Comparison of Physico-Chemical Parameters in Between Selected Plain Set Yoghurt Brands

![Fig 2. Variation of the pH according to different plain set yoghurt brands](image1)

![Fig 3. Variation of the titratable acidity according to different plain set yoghurt brands](image2)

![Fig 4. Variation of the total protein content (%) according to different plain set yoghurt brands](image3)
In this study, different plain set yoghurt brands were appreciated by most of the panelists. Brand 12 had the lowest score and had the least acceptable plain set yoghurt product. This may be due to high titratable acidity of 0.54% compared to all the other products. The highest overall mean score was scored for brand 15. It had the highest mean scores for color, thickness and appearance. The increasing order of acceptability of the products by the panelists was Brand 12<1<10<7<15. According to the table 1, the pH of plain set yoghurts ranged from 3.64 to 4.58. It may be due to different final pH values which produce different amounts of lactic acid by different amounts of symbiotic cultures in different plain set yoghurt brands during post-acidification period [8]. The protein content of the brands of plain set yoghurt ranged from 2.15ml to 4.48ml. The differences in pH, titratable acidity, calcium concentration and syneresis effect between plain set yoghurt brands might be due to the use of different milk types and the different conditions of processing by different plain set yoghurt manufacturers [7]. Therefore, in order to further improve the market demand of plain set yoghurt in Sri Lanka, we can improve the quality of those products up to the Sri Lankan standards (SLS) and World Health Organization (WHO) standards too.

5. Conclusion
According to the sensory qualities and acceptability, Brand 15 was the most acceptable product. Some selected physico-chemical quality of plain set yoghurts changed among selected different brands.

REFERENCES


