Minimum Energy Consumption Objective Function For RPL In Internet Of Things


Abstract: 6LoWPAN is an emerging technology which standardized the encapsulation and compression procedure for packet transmission from wireless sensor network to Internet which enables the development of Internet of Things (IoT). IoT is a collection and exchange of data in an object which is associated with electronics, software and sensors. We are going towards IoT because it is a combination of sensor, connectivity, people and processes. Even though IoT provide service to all application, it has some challenges in mobility and energy consumption. MAC layer and Network layer plays the key role in effective utilization of battery operated devices. Routing Protocol for Low Power and Lossy Networks (RPL) is universally accepted routing protocol for static environment. Contiki OS, one of the IoT Operating system used for simulating the performance of RPL. Comparison has been done between two different objective function for RPL in Static and Mobile Environment for different number of nodes.

Index Terms: RPL, Contiki OS, IoT, Objective Function, Static environment, Mobile environment.

1. INTRODUCTION

IoT has the ability to interconnect global information and communication infrastructure. It provides things related service such as interpretation between physical things and virtual things. In order to provide interconnectivity among devices IoT uses different hardware and network platforms[1]. These devices are not stable in all circumstance (i.e dynamic changes occur such as connected/disconnected). In order to provide efficient data handling, the number of devices which used for handling/managing purpose should be in order greater than number of devices connected. Gaining network and Producing data are performed by Accessibility and Compatibility. The layers in IoT are Smart Device/Sensor Layer, Gateway and Networks Layer, Management Service Layer, Application Layer. Smart Device Layer provides services in terms of Wi-Fi, Ethernet, Bluetooth, Infrared. WiFi ,Ethernet, GSM, LTE is also provided by Gateway Network of Gateway and Network Layer. Management Service Layer provides Business Process Execution, Business Process Modelling, Virtual Entity etc.. Application Layer is used for IoT applications. IoT has not only layers but also has technology. These technology are categorized into three groups such as First group, Second group and Third group. Technologies in the first group impact devices such as microchip. Second group comprises some technologies which support network related services such as network sharing, address capacity. Technologies in third group have impact on management services and IoT applications.

2 RPL

LLN is a network ,where both routers and their interconnect are constrained .LLN routers operate with the constraints such as power ,energy ,memory and their interconnections are High loss rate, low data rate and instability .LLN operate from few dozen to 1000 routers and it also has traffic flows such as P2P, P2M, M2P[2]. To operate in wide range of LLN application RPL separate packet processing and forwarding from the routing objective .This objective minimise the energy and latency . RPL is based on distance vector which is an proactive protocol that create an Directed acyclic graph which is used for exchanging of data among a node. It also operates on IEEE 802.15.4 .This routing protocol is used in wireless network with low power consumption which is optimized for multi-hop and many to one communication, but it also supports one to one communication. RPL has4 control messages.They are DIS (DODAG Information Solicitation), DIO (DODAG Information Object), DAO (Destination Advertisement Object), DAACK (Destination Advertisement Object Acknowledment). Objective Function is used to compute the rank of node. It operates on a combination of metrics and constraints to compute the ‘best’ path. OF in RPL is used to select the optimal path towards the DODAG root in an network. There are two type of Objective function in RPL. They are Objective Function zero (OF0) and Minimum Rank with Hysteresis Objective Function [5]. OF0 uses an hop count to calculate the rank of the node and the MRHOF uses Expected Transmission Count to calculate the rank of the node.
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In Directed acyclic graph all edges are in path oriented and terminating at one or more root nodes and no cycles exist. DAG Root is a node within the DAG. Here all DAG have at least one DAG root. A graph is acyclic and there is no outgoing edges. DODAG is Destination oriented DAG. DODAG root acts as a border root and aggregate route and redistribute DODAG route into other routing protocol. Virtual DODAG root is the result of 2 or more RPL instance. The coordination occurs between power devices over reliable link. Rank is computed based on the objective function, where rank increases in the downward direction and decreases in the upward direction. An OF defines how routing metrics, optimization objectives, and related functions are used to compute Rank and it also says how parents in the DODAG are selected and formed. Objective code Point is an identifier indicates which OF DODAG used. Root is the destination of the node in DAG and it does not have any outgoing edges. Up is an edge pointed towards the root. Down is also an edge which is away from the root. In Grounded when the DODAG reaches its goal. In Floating when DODAG doesn’t reach the goal. Constructing topology does not have a predefined function. RPL routers are optimized then the DAG is converted to DODAG. RPL Identifiers use 4 values to identify they are RPL instance ID, DODAG ID, DODAG version no, Rank. Objective function is used to select node and compute the rank of the node. It is also used to optimize the routes within the RPL instance. It is identified by objective code point within the DIO configuration.

---

**Fig. 1. Control Flow In RPL**

**Fig. 2. State Diagram of RPL**
3 SIMULATION AND ITS PERFORMANCE

The simulation shows the working of objective function of0 and mrhof and its performance evaluated in terms of power consumption. The Cooja 3.0 simulator was used to perform the simulation. The Cooja simulator is the one of the simulator in Contiki operating system which is used in embedded system and devices. The Contiki operating system requires minimum memory allocation having atleast 2kB of random access memory and 30kB of read only memory. The environment is created with nodes, placed randomly in position. The sink node was placed in centre around the sink node, the sender nodes is placed. Table 1 contains the parameters used during our simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Name</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simulator</td>
<td>Cooja 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation duration</td>
<td>1000s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of nodes</td>
<td>2,4,6,8,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routing Protocol</td>
<td>RPL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective function</td>
<td>Of0, mrhof</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Simulation environment for Hops in static environment

Fig. 4. Simulation Environment for Branch in static environment

In static environment: By increasing Hops

Fig. 5. Simulation Environment for hop in mobile environment

Fig. 6. Simulation Environment for Branch in mobile environment

Fig. 7. Average Power Consumption vs Number of Hops

OF0 does not depend on any routing metrics for data path selection whereas, MRHOF selects path based on minimum node rank value. So the power consumption for OF0 is higher than MRHOF.
Listen power for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to very efficient working of CPU service in MRHOF. So average power consumption for MRHOF is smaller.

Listen power for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to very efficient working of LPM service in MRHOF. So average power consumption for MRHOF is smaller.

Listen power for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to efficient analysing of the medium is ideal or not in MRHOF. So average power consumption for MRHOF is smaller.

Listen power for OF0 is smaller than MRHOF this is due to higher transmit power in MRHOF, higher the transmit power power lower will be the average power consumption. So Listen power for MRHOF is greater.

Listen duty cycle for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to low battery wake time during analysing of medium which is ideal or not in MRHOF. So Listen duty cycle for MRHOF is smaller.

Transmit duty cycle for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to low battery wake time during transmission in MRHOF. So Transmit duty cycle for MRHOF is smaller. In static environment: By increasing branches
OF0 does not depend on any routing metrics for data path selection whereas, MRHOF selects path based on minimum node rank value. So the power consumption for OF0 is higher than MRHOF.

Listen power for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to very efficient working of CPU service in MRHOF. So average power consumption for MRHOF is smaller.

Listen power for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to efficient analysing of the medium is ideal or not in MRHOF. So average power consumption for MRHOF is smaller.

Listen power for OF0 is smaller than MRHOF this is due to higher transmit power in MRHOF, higher the transmit power power lower will be the average power consumption. So Listen power for MRHOF is greater.

Listen duty cycle for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to low battery wake time during analysing of medium which is ideal or not in MRHOF. So Listen duty cycle for MRHOF is smaller.
Transmit duty cycle for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to low battery wake time during transmission in MRHOF. So Transmit duty cycle for MRHOF is smaller. In Mobile environment: By increasing hops.

Listen power for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to very efficient working of LPM service in MRHOF. So average power consumption for MRHOF is smaller.

Listen power for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to efficient analysing of the medium is ideal or not in MRHOF. So average power consumption for MRHOF is smaller.

Listen power for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to very low battery wake time during analysing of medium which is ideal or not in MRHOF. So Listen duty cycle for MRHOF is smaller.
Transmit duty cycle for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to low battery wake time during transmission in MRHOF. So Transmit duty cycle for MRHOF is smaller.

**Fig. 27.** Average transmit Duty Cycle vs Number of Hops

By increasing branch

**Fig. 28.** Average Power Consumption vs Number of Branches

OF0 does not depend on any routing metrics for data path selection whereas, MRHOF selects path based on minimum node rank value. So the power consumption for OF0 is higher than MRHOF.

**Fig. 29.** Average CPU Power vs Number of Branches

Listen power for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to very efficient working of CPU service in MRHOF. So average power consumption for MRHOF is smaller.

**Fig. 30.** Average LPM Power vs Number of Branches

Listen power for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to very efficient working of LPM service in MRHOF. So average power consumption for MRHOF is smaller.

**Fig. 31.** Average Listen Power vs Number of Branches

Listen power for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to efficient analysing of the medium is ideal or not in MRHOF. So average power consumption for MRHOF is smaller.

**Fig. 32.** Average Transmit Power vs Number of Branches

Listen power for OF0 is smaller than MRHOF this is due to higher transmit power in MRHOF, higher the transmit power power lower will be the average power consumption. So Listen power for MRHOF is greater.
Listen duty cycle for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to low battery wake time during analysing of medium which is ideal or not in MRHOF. So Listen duty cycle for MRHOF is smaller.

Transmit duty cycle for OF0 is greater than MRHOF this is due to low battery wake time during transmission in MRHOF. So Transmit duty cycle for MRHOF is smaller.

The above results shows that the behaviour of mrhof is better compared to of0 in the above specified environment.

4 CONCLUSION

Thus the comparison between two objective function was done using Cooja simulator. The performance was measured between the of0 and mrhof objective function by varying number of branches and number of hops. The observed performance reveals that the measured power consumption for the mrhof is comparatively high than the of0. This analysis has been done based on static environment. Future work can be done for mobile and secured environment.
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