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Abstract: The focus of this study is to seek the relevance for implementing in Information Technology by students in Dhaka University. The research takes into account 50 students studying at different disciplines. The respondents were visited randomly to get the relevant data. The paper applies statistical tools e.g. SPSS on the information received and analyzes the results towards the solution. The result of the study suggests that students’ academic quality and knowledge enhancement have significantly strong relationship with investment in IT. However, the findings of this exploratory study offer insights that the money invested in IT for academic purpose is more advantageous than otherwise be invested especially for those whose academic curriculum mainly decorated in accordance with the modern up-to-date era of Information Technology. On the basis of intellectual interaction between premises and experiences gathered during study, investment on IT will help concerned students understanding how important IT is for their study.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The overriding message that can be gleaned from most current research on the implementation of computer-based technology in education is that technology is a means, not an end; it is a tool for achieving instructional goals, not a goal in itself. And yet, many schools and districts have invested in computer-based technology before establishing clear plans for how to use this important tool. In today’s world, computer-based technology is not a frill, but an important component of any modern curriculum. During the last decade, technology expenditure by students is seen to be higher in Bangladesh. Since no one wants these funds to be wasted, educators need insight into how to maximize the positive impact of their technology. This paper is for educators and policymakers who want to learn from the research and experiences of others about how to make their technology investment a wise one. To address this issue, I summarize major research findings related to technology use and, based on these findings, attempt to draw out implications for how to make the most of technology resources. This paper will focus on pedagogical and policy issues related to technology, not smaller issues such as what hardware configurations or software to use. This is not a “how to” paper, but rather a paper about the key policy issues to be addressed in order to make technology use the most effective. Rather than attempting a comprehensive review of the literature, this paper draws on a selection of research studies. It was tried to choose studies that were the most methodologically sound. I favored those respondents who are the change maker in this sector. The students who doubt about whether he/she will invest in IT or not for their academic progress and other will be aware by being acknowledged of the study.

2. Background of the study
The term “technology” can be used to mean a very wide variety of things, from computers to pencils. In this paper, I use the term to refer broadly to computer-based tools — hardware and software, the Internet, and computer-based multimedia that have impact on students’ performance. In the early sections of the paper, it was begun by describing research on the relation between technology and student learning — addressing the question of what kinds of impact technology has on education. In order to understand the impact of technology on education, it is helpful to consider the purposes to which technology is applied. When students are learning “from” computers, the computers are essentially tutors. In this capacity, the technology primarily serves the goal of increasing students’ basic skills and knowledge. In learning “with,” by contrast, students use technology as a tool that can be applied to a variety of goals in the learning process, rather than serving simply as an instructional delivery system. Students use the technology as a resource to help them develop higher order thinking, creativity, research skills, and so on. After discussing research on each of these kinds of technology, we turn to the “lessons learned” from these studies and discuss a variety of key conditions that are necessary for technology to improve education. Information technology (IT) is changing the competitive dynamics in the present educational system. For example, students are using technology to improve their educational efficiency and knowledge to adapt with the current competitive world. In general, investment on IT by the students plays a vitally important role to enhance their educational excellence. The aim of this research is to find out the payoff from investing on IT by the students that will help take the exact decision whether the students will adopt IT or not. The key informants of this research are from undergraduate, graduate and post graduate students in Dhaka University. Though the explored study is using limited resources but its implication can shade light on very important aspects of IT payoff. This research covers three IT investments by the students e.g. PC, Smartphone, and Internet.

2.1 Statement of the problem
This study will be conducted “To measure the payoff from the IT investment by the students.”

2.2 Objective of the Study
This is a research work done by University students. The study objectives are as follows:

- To take the right decision whether they will invest on IT or deposit in the bank
To review the efficiency of the IT investment by the students
To identify the percentage of students those who are using IT in their academic task
Explore the perception of the students regarding the use of IT in accessing information
Find out the change in the level of education among the students due to IT adoption
Find out the opinions about the importance of smartphone for their academic course

2.3 Objective of the Study
The following limitations have been identified for the preparation of research report:
- Lack of in-depth knowledge and analytical ability for writing such research report
- Lack of sufficient secondary data
- Difficulty in collecting information from students of diverse backgrounds
- Limitation in disclosing some data and information for various reasons

2.4 Objective of the Study
The paper covers the following aspects sequentially:
- Introduction of the study
- Literature Review of previously conducted research on the study
- Objectives of conducting the study
- Methodology used in conducting the research
- Description of the data used in the study
- Analysis of the obtained data in the study
- Findings of the study
- Recommendation for the users
- Conclusion of the study
- Future study

3 LITERATURE REVIEW
With respect to IT sector payoff, foreign and some national experts undertook some studies. A study (Lindahl and Folkesson, 2012) studied with 31 preschool teachers, to examine the benefits and challenges of application of computers in preschool education. Research results have shown that the multiple conflicts of emotion on the use of computers. The study also have been evaluated the fitting the use of computer to the traditions of the applications in preschool education. Computer applications can be included in preschool education by understanding and taking into account the traditions, the values and habits of teachers related to the educational settings. If teachers feel threat to their values, traditions, habits in educational settings; they can exhibit a negative attitude against the use of computers. As a result, the computer applications are suggested to use provided that it is suitable to the educational traditions of teachers. (Immedieh in Jordan, 2009) of preschool teachers’ beliefs and practices on use of computers in applications for teaching the reading and writing. Two scales were used; —belief scale and —practice scales for study evaluation. 154 preschool teachers participated a randomly selected survey and interviewed with 12 teachers. According to the results; practice sale is more determinant than the belief scale in use of computers in applications for teaching the reading and writing. Analyzing the use of computers in education, teachers’ teaching habits in the classroom are more important than their beliefs. Besides, trained teachers are using computers for teaching purposes more than the untrained teachers.

Nearly every student at the university can afford to own a smartphone. The findings also suggest that students fully utilized smartphones as a regular mobile phones as well as a traditional computer and as a means to get connected to online social networks. Unfortunately the findings also suggest that the students lack of using smartphones as a means to support learning. Most of the students of Dhaka University do not use smartphone for their academic purposes properly. Most of the time they access internet through smartphone for using Facebook. Some of them use smartphone for academic purposes rightly. Besides depend on properly use of smartphone for academic purposes, postgraduate students are better than undergraduate students. Research shows that educators do not have very positive attitudes towards smartphone as tools for learning in higher education to date (Imran Hossain, 2014).

(Sang-Zo Nam, 2013) surveyed how students of a university in South Korea use smartphones. Based on survey data from 135 participants, the most preferred usage and usual usages of the smartphone are evaluated. Perceived and relative significance of satisfaction to usages of the smartphone according to demographic variables such as gender and academic year have been evaluated. The results indicate that the most important usage of smartphone is ‘real-time communication’ rather than ‘telephone’ or ‘internet search’. ‘Study’ usage gets the least response in usual usage and the most important usage of smartphone. ‘Real-time communication’ usage received the most affirmative response in serviceability too. The utilization of mobile phone services in the educational environment, explore the nature of mobile phone use among university students and investigate the perception of university students on mobile phone uses in library and information services. The study describes the current status of wireless technology. It also identifies different wireless phone application for mobile phones which facilitate and enlarged the education (Karim et al., 2006). (Nortcliffe & Middleton, 2013) explored the hypothesis that students are being innovative in the ways in which they are using their smart devices to support their formal and informal learning. The study involved five students who own smart devices who were invited to discuss their ownership of smartphone and tablet technologies and the ways they used them in their studies. The students first completed a short questionnaire and were then interviewed in small groups. The results agree with previous research into student use of smart devices and describe autonomous engagement facilitated by personally owned smart technologies. The study identifies continuous patterns of pervasive engagement by students and concludes that more thought should be given to disruptive innovation, digital literacy and employability. A study showed the efficiency of the use of paper and electronic dictionaries for learning vocabulary in an experimental study. The experimental group used electronic dictionaries while the control group used paper dictionaries. The results of the experiment revealed that the experimental group improved significantly regarding their vocabulary learning compared to the control group. Likewise, Jian, Sandnes, Law, Huang, and Huang (2009) investigated the role of electronic dictionaries in English learning of undergraduates of engineering and humanities. They reported
that the speed of reference was a very important merit of using electronic dictionaries while multimedia properties were not considered as a significant benefit (In Iran, Zarei and Gujjar, 2012). Many students (with 600 samples) in the Municipality of the Brong-Region of Ghana had learnt how to use the Internet from their teachers and were more likely to use the Internet Cafés as access point. Many of them also believed the cost of access was expensive. The paper also showed that more tertiary students are using the Internet technology to look for information for assignments. The Internet has also led to improved communications among tertiary students. Google and Facebook were the most preferred search engine and social network respectively. Poor Internet speed, inadequate number of computers in computer laboratories, and inadequate user skills were observed as the leading constraints to Internet use. Since a significant number of tertiary students are using the Internet, addressing the hindrances to its use will enhance teaching, research and learning (Charles Arthur Mr. and Paul Osei Brafi, 2013).

4 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
This research report tries to fulfill all the study objectives by the methodology stated below:

4.1 Data Collection
In order to make the report more meaningful, both the primary and secondary data have been collected.

4.1.1 Primary Data
The primary data sources were a questionnaire survey. For this reason, I prepared a questionnaire regarding the use of IT by the students. I took opinions regarding IT from fifty undergraduate and graduate students of Dhaka University.

4.1.2 Secondary Data
Secondary data sources were articles on the related factors in the conceptual framework of the report, Books regarding IT investment and website.

4.2 Analytical model and scaling technique
In order to make the report more meaningful, both the primary and secondary data have been collected.

4.2.1 Analytical model
An analytical model is a set of variables and interrelationship designed to represent, in whole or in part, some real system or process. Models can have many different forms. Here I show the geographical model. A geographical model provides a visual picture of the relationships between variables. Geographical model is visual. It is used to isolate variables and to suggest direction of relationships but are not designed to provide numerical results. It is logical preliminary steps to develop mathematical models. The data has been analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics-20 and Microsoft Excel-2010.

4.2.2 Scaling technique
I have used 5 point Likert scale, which is a part of non-comparative scaling technique.

Model specification:
The purpose of this analysis is to measure the relative importance of each independent variable on the dependent variable. Here, I have used multiple regressions in order to find out how independent variables affect dependent variables. Mathematical Model:

\[ Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \beta_4X_4 + e \]

Where,

- \( Y \) = Academic performance (CGPA) of the students
- \( X_1 \) = Personal computer
- \( X_2 \) = Smartphone
- \( X_4 \) = Internet
- \( \beta \) = parameter/ coefficient of the model
- \( e \) = error of the model.

5 DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY
5.1 Overview of IT investment (IT tools used by students)
The undergraduate, graduate and post-graduate students involve in the following IT investments:

5.1.1 Personal Computer (PC)
Personal computers or PC’s are probably one of the things that anyone would like to have these days. They can be used for playing games, research purposes and even for communication.

PC used by students:
Categories of computer used by students are as follows:
- Mini Computer
- Micro Computer
- Desktop Computer
- Laptop Computer
- Handheld Computer (PDAS)
- Tablet Computer

Reasons for buying PC by the students:
- To improve Research
- To enhance the presentation skill
- To perform assignment tasks
- To get the up-to-date information about home and abroad

Figure 1: Factor influence for academic performance
To connect with the social media like Facebook, Twitter and professional site like LinkedIn and so on
To influence Career Aspirations
To gain Enhanced Creativity
To make quick and easy information transfer
To facilitate educational activities
To improve grade in the examination
To use as a medium of entertainment
To use as a medium of communication

**Reasons for buying Tablet PCs:**
- It’s portable
- It’s a great e-book reader
- It’s comfortable to use
- It’s very functional
- It uses a reliable OS
- It has powerful social networking tools
- It’s affordable
- It’s great for meetings
- It’s better than a smartphone
- It’s a better user experience

**5.1.2 Smartphone**
Smartphone is one the most popular communication devices in this modern world. It is a mobile phone running a complete operating system in a manner similar to a traditional computer, which offer advanced computing abilities and connectivity options. These features enable new kinds of mobile services that in turn shape the usage habits of smartphone users (Alfawareh & Jusoh, 2014). University students are among the highest contributors to the increasing number of smart phone sales. The factor that most influences the increase in smartphone usage is to do many of the things that were once reserved for a personal computer, such as accessing the Web at higher speeds, viewing/editing documents, downloading files, creating music playlists, or managing multiple email/messaging accounts.

**Key reasons for buying Smartphone by the students:**
The following outcomes from Smartphone facilitate the students’ academic performance:
- To facilitate communication
- To solve any educational problem by contacting with teachers, students etc.
- To use internet for accessing in the vast area of information
- To get the facilities like PC
- To use like a camera or recorder
- To use Bluetooth infrared technology to transfer the data from smartphone to smartphone or to computer and computer to smartphone without any cost in a few seconds.
- Easy handle and carry because of its light weight
- To use as a digital dictionary
- To get up-to-date information
- To use as a medium of entertainment
- Easy to make a call
- Support various apps
- To send and receive Email instantly
- To have GPS service or Google earth
- To send and receive Short message service easily
- Video calling facilities
- To know Weather update
- Self-camera and so on.

**5.1.3 Internet**
The Internet, sometimes called simply “the Net,” is a worldwide system of computer networks - a network of networks in which users at any one computer can, if they have permission, get information from any other computer (and sometimes talk directly to users at other computers). The Internet is a vital part of the education process; resources for learning and educating are implemented into lesson plans and homework every day. Students and teachers can access unlimited information to broaden their education. With Internet access by students, there is almost no limit to what students can learn.

**Reasons for using internet by the students:**

1. **Learning diverse cultures:** There is so much that students can do with the Internet. Not only can they communicate with international students, they can gain from others’ knowledge and experiences, participate in chat rooms, share ideas and solutions and learn about the many diverse cultures out there.
2. **Facilitate interactive learning:** The interactive learning that the Internet provides can help students with a broader spectrum of knowledge increment.
3. **Adjustment with different learning styles:** Individual teaching techniques can become more available, which has been proven to be a factor in student achievement.
4. **Linking with the teachers:** Students have the chance to be able to learn at more than one place simultaneously. They may be in a small town but through the Internet, they can be linked to teachers in more populated areas.
5. **E-mail:** E-mail is an online correspondence system. With e-mail a student can send and receive instant electronic messages, which work like writing letters & delivered instantly.
6. **Access to Information:** The Internet is a virtual treasure trove of information. Any kind of information on any topic under the sun is available online. The ‘search engine’ can help students to find any data subject that they need.
7. **Shopping:** Along with getting information on the Internet, we can also shop online. There are many online stores and sites that can be used to look for products as well as buy them using credit card. We do not need to leave our house and can do all our shopping from the convenience of our home.
8. **Online Chat:** There are many ‘chat rooms’ on the web that can be accessed to meet new people, make new friends, as well as to stay in touch with old friends.
9. **Downloading Software:** This is one of the most happening and fun things to do via the Internet. We can download innumerable, academic, games, music, videos, movies, and a host of other entertainment software from the Internet, most of which are free.
10. **Faster Communication:** The foremost target of Internet has always been speedy communication and it has excelled way beyond the expectations. New innovations are only going to make it faster and more reliable. Now, students can communicate in a fraction
of second with a person who is sitting in the other part of the world.

k) Entertainment: Entertainment is another popular reason why many students prefer to surf the Internet. In fact, the Internet has become quite successful in trapping the multifaceted entertainment industry.

l) Social Networking: A student cannot imagine an online life without Facebook or Twitter. Social networking has become so popular amongst youth that it might one day replace physical networking. It has evolved as a great medium to connect with millions of people with similar interests. Apart from finding long-lost friends, students can also look for a job, business opportunities.

m) Online Services: The Internet has made life very convenient. With numerous online services, students can now perform all transactions online.

6 ANALYSIS OF THE DATA & FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

The analysis of the data will clarify the factors affecting the decision in case of IT investment. From the collected data, the estimation will be summarized. Alpha (α) - To find the effects of IT on Dhaka University students, a questionnaire-based survey was made. The questionnaire consisted of 32 questions. All these questions were specifically prepared and closely related with the use of IT. The collection of data was done at Dhaka university campus from 1 January to 25 January, 2015. A total of 51 questionnaires were distributed among students in Dhaka University of which 50 (response rate 98.03%) completed questionnaires were retrieved from students. The analysis performed below is based on survey feedback of students studying in DU. It will find out the real scenario for deciding on whether the student should invest on IT or not.

Average Scores in Respondents’ Personal Information: As indicated earlier, 50 students participated in the survey. Among this information the following information was gathered from the study as for the respondents’ personal data.

- **Figure 2: Survey Participants’ age limit**

From the figure as illustrated above, among the students, the largest group was from 21-24 year age group. The number of students from 21-25 years age group was 49 (80%). The rest of the participants (10) were from 16-20 (5%) and 26-30 (5%) years age group.

- **Figure 3: Survey Participants’ educational level**

As shown in the above figure, the largest group 24 (48%) was under graduate level. The second largest group 14 (7%) was post graduate. The third largest response 12 (24%) was from graduate level.

- **Figure 4: Survey Participants’ educational background**

The largest group 25 (50%) was from the Faculty of Arts. The second largest group 21 (42%) came from the Business faculty. The third largest response 4 (8%) was from the Faculty of sciences at Dhaka University.
The largest number of participants 39 (78%) came from rural areas. The 2nd largest group 7 (14%) was from small towns. The rest groups are 2 (4%) from metropolitan area and the city respectively.

Average Scores in Respondents’ Personal Computer:
The respondent given data are shown in the figure below for PC they use.

Among all respondents 23 (46%) do not use the computer, and the other who use PC include Laptop 18, Desktop 8 and 1 use Notebook.

Among all respondents who have a PC (27) used time duration include highest usage of (40.74%) of more than 3 years, then 1-2 years of about 22%, 1-6 months of about 19%, 2-3 years of about 4%.

Among all respondents having PC spent the cost for purchasing the PC allowed highest number in TK 30,000-40,000 (about 48%), then 20-30 thousands, 40-50 thousands include about 19%. The highest cost occurred in about 7% respondent of more than 50 thousand TK.

The Participants use mostly HP brand (about 30%). Again Dell (about 22%) and Samsung (about 15%) used by respondents.
The users collected money for buying the PC mainly from family income (about 74%), self-income and relative (about 11%) respectively.

The users purchased the PC mainly for their academic and entertainment purposes (about 70%). Other purposes also include.

The participants spent 43% of tk. 500-1000 and 1000-1500 of 24%. Here other amount also occurred.

**Average Scores in Respondents’ Smart Phone Use:**
Among all respondents 32 (64%) students use Smart Phone. Other students don’t have any Smart Phone. The other information regarding Smart Phone is as follows:

The users having SP used maximum time of during 1-2 (32%) years, 2-3 years (29%), and other time duration also has for using the Smart Phone.

The Participants use mostly Symphony brand (about 41%). Again Nokia (about 19%) and Samsung (about 16%) used by respondents.
Among all respondents the cost for purchasing the SP allowed highest number in TK. 5,000-10,000 (about 60%), then 10-15 thousands (about 19%). Other amounts also included.

The users purchased the SP mainly for communication (50%) and academic purposes (25%).

The highest users use Grameen Phone (7) mobile operator for their Smart Phone. Again GP and BL used respectively by the users. After GP the users use only BL 3 (6%) for their network.

The participants spent 70% of tk. 100-500 and 500-1000 of 30%.

Average Scores in Respondents’ Internet Use:

91% survey participants use intent for different purposes while rest (11%) don’t. Other information regarding internet is follows:

Among 39 participants 15 users use internet on their smartphone while 12 students use PC and other 12 use both SP and PC.
Among 39 participants mostly about 49% users use internet through WiFi connection, about 21% through broadband connection, about 10% by mobile network and so on.

Among 39 participants mostly about 46% users use internet through 512 kbps to 1 mbps, while others speed is 256-512 kbps about 31%. The highest speed found in the survey, the respondent has a 1-2 mbps that is of 10%. Again still now students use low speed bandwidth of 128-256 kbps.

Among 39 participants mostly about 49% use internet few times every day, 28% at least once a day, 21% at least once a day, the rest (3%) at least once a month.

Among 39 participants mostly about 42% users spent TK. 100-200 in every month for using internet, 29% spent TK. 400-800, 26% 200-400, and the rest (3%) spent most 1200-2000 taka.

Average Scores in Respondents' Satisfaction Level:
The participants who participated in the questionnaire fill in all 50 (100%) agreed on a matter that IT investment is beneficial for their academic purpose. Again how IT is beneficial in study is illustrated below:

Mostly 53% respondents agreed that IT investment is strongly important for their academic purpose. Again 27% agreed IT is important, 16% moderately important. Only 4% respondents think IT investment for academic purpose is not necessary and thus they check the unimportant and strongly unimportant box.
Mostly about 63% respondents think that IT learning, saves time and increases productivity, helps skill development training, finds up-to-date information. Some respondents check solely some of the boxes. The respondents rated how IT is advantageous for their academic purposes. The rate is illustrated in the table below:

### Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Sum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade of IT for making it easier to search for information related to study</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>1.322</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade of IT for improving study skills</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>1.271</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade of IT for making it easier to access and complete study</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>1.510</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade of IT for increasing knowledge in the field of study</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>1.683</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade of IT for increasing motivation towards completing study</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>1.244</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade of IT for ensuring academic quality</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>1.535</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly cost for using internet</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>19100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>32376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly cost for using smart phone</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15638.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>51164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly cost for using PC</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5740.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly cost for PC repairment</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1880.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>83540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly cost for using hardware</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1805.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42636</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 26: Statistical calculation for the rate given by respondent

### Figure 27: Statistical calculation for the cost occurred for IT investment

### Figure 28: Graphical representation for the relationship of CGPA and IT investment

### Figure 29: IT payoff for 5 Years
It is assumed that those who have CGPA of 3.45 will get job within
at least 3 months before those have CGPA of 3.25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3 Months’ Salary</th>
<th>90000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loss incurred for IT investment</td>
<td>40903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit incurred for IT investment</td>
<td>49097</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Again those who have invested in IT will have higher technical knowledge than those who did not invest in IT. As a result the promotion will come earlier for IT investor than not IT investor. Finally IT pays a lot off.

**Figure 30: IT payoff**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IT Cost</th>
<th>IT Return</th>
<th>IT payoff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49858</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>49097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49858</td>
<td>83540</td>
<td>33682</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IT payoff is more (14,415) than investing otherwise that is 31%

**Figure 31: Graphical representation for the relationship of IT importance and education level**

Among the 24 undergraduate students 13 think IT is strongly important, 5 moderately important, 5 important, and the rest 1 think IT is unimportant. Among the 12 graduate students 7 think IT is strongly important, 1 moderately important, 3 important, and the rest 1 think IT is unimportant. Among the 13 undergraduate students 6 think IT is strongly important, 1 moderately important, and the rest 5 think IT is important.

**Figure 32: Graphical representation for the relationship of IT advantage and education level**

Among the 23 undergraduate students 2 think IT saves time & increases productivity, 2 IT helps finding up-to-date information, 16 all of the facilities, 2 facilitates learning, saves time & increases productivity and helps skill development training, and the rest 1 think IT finding up-to-date information, assists research, & increases grade. Among the 12 undergraduate students 3 think IT facilitates learning, 1 skill development, 5 all of the facilities, 1 facilitates learning, saves time & increases productivity and helps skill development training, and the rest 2 think IT finding up-to-date information, assists research, & increases grade. Among the 13 undergraduate students 1 think IT facilitates learning, 1 think IT saves time & increases productivity, 9 all of the facilities, and the rest 1 think IT finding up-to-date information, assists research, & increases grade.

**Hypothesis Testing:**

**Hypothesis 1:**

Null hypothesis (Ho): Who invested in IT has less CGPA than those who did not invest in IT. Alternative hypothesis (H1): Who invested in IT has more CGPA than those who did not invest in IT.

**Figure 33: Paired Samples Correlations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CGPA</th>
<th>Computer</th>
<th>Smart Phone</th>
<th>Internet</th>
<th>IT Investment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Null hypothesis is rejected and alternative hypothesis of who invested in IT has more CGPA than those who did not invest in IT is accepted as the Sig. value is less than 0.05 that means there is correlation between IT investment and CGPA. Its interpretation says that with 96.9% confidence there is association between IT investment and CGPA and their relationship is 35.9%.

**Hypothesis 2:**
Null hypothesis (H₀): Higher IT investment produces better result.
Alternative hypothesis (H₁): Higher IT investment does not produce better result.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair 1</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost occurred during 1st year of investing in IT &amp; CGPA obtained by the respondent</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>.253</td>
<td>.136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, null hypothesis accepted as the Sig value is 0.136 that is greater than standard significance level of 0.05. As a result the association is poor of 25.3%. Now we assume that the tendency of investing more and more for making good result is not wise decision.

**Hypothesis 3:**
Null hypothesis (H₀): The improvement of academic performance (CGPA) is not dependent on using Smartphone.
Alternative hypothesis (H₁): The improvement of academic performance (CGPA) is dependent on using Smartphone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair 1</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CGPA obtained by the respondent &amp; Whether the Respondent has a Smart Phone or Not</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>.059</td>
<td>.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, null hypothesis is accepted and there is no relationship between Smart Phone possession and the grade.

**Hypothesis 4:**
Null hypothesis (H₀): The improvement of academic performance (CGPA) is not dependent on using Internet.
Alternative hypothesis (H₁): The improvement of academic performance (CGPA) is dependent on using Internet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whether the respondent uses internet or not &amp; CGPA obtained by the respondent</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>.378</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Null hypothesis is rejected and alternative hypothesis of the improvement of academic performance (CGPA) is dependent on using Internet is accepted as the Sig. value is less than 0.05 that means there is correlation between IT investment and CGPA. Its interpretation says that with 97.7% confidence there is association between IT investment and CGPA and their relationship is 37.8%.

**Hypothesis 5:**
Null hypothesis (H₀): Without investing in IT good result is possible.
Alternative hypothesis (H₁): Without investing in IT good result is not possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whether the respondent use IT or not &amp; CGPA obtained by the respondent</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>.247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, null hypothesis is accepted and there is some relationship (about 25%) relationship between IT investment by students and the good grade.

**Figure 34: Paired Samples Correlations**

**Figure 35: Paired Samples Correlations**

**Figure 36: Paired Samples Correlations**

**Figure 37: Paired Samples Correlations**

**Figure 38: Correlations with CGPA and PC**

**Figure 39: Correlations of Grade and Smart Phone**
Table 1: Correlations of Grade and Internet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CGPA obtained by the respondent</th>
<th>Pearson Correlation</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.378</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Table 2: Correlations of Grade and Total IT cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CGPA obtained by the respondent</th>
<th>Pearson Correlation</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>.454</td>
<td>.119</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Students should have to use IT a limited amount of time that does not impact on their daily activities.

Students should discard unnecessary android software that kills their important time.

There should be rules and regulations against the use of IT; such rules should be well stated with appropriate measures and guide lines for its enforcement. This is important because, if allowed to be freely used by the student in the lecture rooms, & others places would turn to be like market place or public square. It would also breed ill feelings among poor students who could not afford to purchase or lack the opportunity to purchase it.

. Students should be guided and counseled on the use of IT at the point of entry for their academic programme on the campus. This would require the services of the university Guidance and Counseling Unit where they would be told how, when and why to use it. This is because they should know the advantages and disadvantages of appropriate time for using IT.

Extravagant use of internet can interrupt their study objectives.

Guardians should check their child’s unnecessary chatting over computer and smartphone.

8 Conclusion

This research is essentially an exploratory type that aims to provide insightful analysis of IT investment is by Dhaka University students rather investing in other cases. It has also provided preliminary analysis of available secondary data source. As the data shows, all the respondents agree about the payoff of IT in academic progress. The education system of developing countries might unarguably be the most prevalent beneficiary of the information technologies. IT is not just supplementary devices for developing countries, but these devices can play integral part of in their education systems. The IT provides access to modern society a massive amount of educational and learning resources. In developing countries PC and Smartphone can easily compensates the limited access of internet and data access, which in turn help their infrastructure and education development. Overall, research shows that educators have positive attitudes towards IT as tools for learning in higher education to date. It is true, however, that students’ attention in class needs to be managed by bring up a good idea to manage students’ attention in the long run. It encourages professors and educators to design some portions of classes as technology off. The blessing of any phenomenon is that most of the Dhaka University students seem to be unaware of the right use of PC, Smartphone and the Internet. Numerous researchers have pointed out measuring the impact of technology use on student achievement is fraught with difficulties. Moreover, few reliable, valid, and cost-effective assessments exist that measure students’ higher-order thinking skills, problem-solving ability, or capacity to locate, evaluate, and use information — skills that many researchers and teachers believe can be enhanced through technology use. Technology has also been shown to increase student motivation and engagement, prepare students for jobs, and enhance students’ ability to work collaboratively, but we have few, if any, tools and methods to

| How frequently the user uses the internet | -1.157E-005 | 0.000 | -0.501 | -0.740 | 0.537 |
| Total Cost occurred during 1st year of investing in IT | -0.008 | 0.075 | 0.046 | 0.105 | 0.926 |
| Time of Using PC by the Respondent | 0.277 | 0.178 | 1.057 | 1.556 | 0.200 |
| Amount of Money in Thousand Invested by the Respondent | -0.007 | 0.105 | -0.035 | -0.066 | 0.953 |
| How long the user uses the Smartphone | -0.106 | 0.174 | -0.290 | -0.608 | 0.605 |
| Investment for smartphone in thousands Internet Cost per month | 0.095 | 0.133 | 0.352 | 0.714 | 0.549 |
| Degree of importance of IT in academic success | 0.058 | 0.100 | 0.214 | 0.583 | 0.619 |
| Advantage gained from using IT in academic purpose | -0.044 | 0.029 | -0.632 | -1.527 | 0.266 |

a. Dependent Variable: CGPA obtained by the respondent

Regression equation: CGPA = 4.488+.029-.104-.207-.136-0.00001157+.008+.277-.007-.106+.058-.044.

7 Recommendations

Through the mixing and matching between the suggestions provided by the respondents and researchers’ findings, the recommendations are summarized below:

- Lecturers and the university authorities should encourage the use of IT to increase the broader spectrum of their knowledge.
- Students should invest on those IT instrument that actually fit with his or her study needs.
- Parents and guardians of students should educate their wards towards appropriate use of IT while in university.
- Manufacturers of IT should enlighten the adolescence users of IT through their manuals of some of the implications as revealed in.
- Students should have to use IT for their academic purposes properly.
measure impact in these domains. Thus, it is not surprising that the impact of technology on education continues to be debated by educators and researchers alike.

Debates aside, there is a substantial body of research that suggests that technology can have a positive effect on student achievement under certain circumstances and when used for certain purposes. However, there is no magic formula that educators and policymakers can use to determine if this “return” is actually worth the “investment.” Perhaps, rather than asking, “Is technology worth the cost?” the more important question is, “Under what conditions does technology have the most benefits for students?” The research presented in this paper seeks to answer this question, and offers some suggestions that policymakers should seriously consider as they seek to enhance learning through technology use.

9 Future Study
Some limitations of the present study should be noted. First, the sample is rather small and has employed simple statistical analysis. Second, participation of female respondent is not included in the study. Future research should investigate further analysis of IT use by students studying at different University of Bangladesh and its impact on academic performance.
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