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Abstract: This paper analyzes the relationship between the size of enterprises and their financial position in front of competitors in the market. So that enterprises to look at their financial position and make better decisions, the finance and accounting department must prepare financial statements and reports (PRF) with reliable data including all items coming from financial transactions. In this research it is explained how logistical regression and natural logarithm in cooperation with all other statistical tests reflect the success or failure of enterprises, in other words their financial position. Finally, the research is argued from empirical findings to 100 enterprises of different activities and sizes, giving a new approach through detailed recommendations for all the studied variables.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The financial statements of enterprises through the financial data incorporated in the reports reflect their success or failure. The financial statements of enterprises through the financial data incorporated in the reports reflect their success or failure respectively in the financial position facing the competitive market. In order to understand correctly the financial situation of the enterprise from reports it is required to make detailed financial analysis. Theoretical research emphasizes that if an investment made has an expected return on the enterprise’s assets, it is related to profitability or good financial position in the market, on the contrary if we do not have an expected return from the investment then an enterprise results in a negative financial position in the market. Analytical research emphasizes that the profit analysis of the previous period of the enterprise is done on purposes that in addition to reflecting the financial position, to be able to predict success as accurately as possible or profitability for future periods. Accurate accounting information is useful for internal and external users of PRFs, as internal such as senior management, shareholders, employees etc., while as external such as investors, creditors, the State, etc. John N. Myres states that “The analysis of financial statements is primarily a study of the relationship between different financial factors in an enterprise to reflect its financial condition”.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

In order for enterprises to succeed and have a good financial position in the market, the decision-making board in cooperation with the financial manager, accountant and others must work harder and analyze in detail each financial item in the PRF to see their financial position. Based on the research title many authors have made great contributions through their scientific papers, which help this research to become more accurate and important for the future research. As follows, [1] through the capital statement they have analyzed the financial position of the enterprise where they emphasize that PRFs should include a standard analysis of capital and profit growth. [2] Through PRFs, financial planning should be analyzed accurately for future profit, for the payment of future liabilities according to the maturity date, as well as how to have a sustainable policy for the benefit of the dividend. [3] During the research in Australian enterprises recommend that the efficiency of workers, the quality of customer service and the way the enterprise is financed affects the increase of assets and capital in the balance sheet. [4] Elemerraji in his research analyzed that financial reports are not taken into account at all regarding the investment decision. Many young investors in the market leave their enterprise in the hands of fate and do not look at financial reports. [5] They have analyzed that the application of analytical techniques to improve the financial position in the relevant data should be realized through the financial declaration. This analysis of financial statements reduces belief in conjecture and uncertainty, assumptions and intuitions about business decisions. [6] His research analyzed that PRFs include information on the source and use of financial assets, concluding whether the financial condition of the enterprise is good or bad, whether it has improved or deteriorated. Each item in the financial statements should be meaningful and accurate, to help the enterprise begin to improve its financial situation. [7] Ward recommends that the use of PRFs helps investors look at the percentage of profit they receive from invested funds. An enterprise which shows profit growth in its statements has a better investment opportunity compared to other enterprises. [8] Minaxi recommends that accurate information in financial statements and the relationship between them can facilitate decision-making by strengthening its market position. [9] Riyuaks recommends that the financial situation and size of the enterprise is a process of examining the relationship between financial statements by making comparisons with relevant information regarding stocks, bonds and other financial instruments. [10] Hand, recommends that private enterprises have a significant presence in the market, but their accounting practices remain largely unknown due to the lack of financial statements available to the public. [11] They recommend using a unique sample where financial data
should be available, complete and standardized for enterprises with private equity and public debt. [13] Katz recommends that timely recognition of business losses or failures is an attribute of the quality of financial reporting. [14] In their research regarding success and financial position, they recommend that some companies attach great importance to intangible assets, some other companies focus on investment analysis and marketing, but again on financial statements lack measurement, reporting and accurate financial management. [15] Lev recommend opening existing discontent and growing to most enterprises between investors and business leaders, since there is a gap between the capital market, financial information and reported profit to reflect their success or financial position. [16] They recommend the identification and reporting of expenditures could be the first logical step towards reflecting financial position. Lev. [17] suggests the research agenda regarding PRFs, in order to reduce the economic damages arising from the current calculations of the enterprise, as well as information hidden before the audit. Harris and Penman [18] related to their financial position recommend that the value of the shareholders depends only on the exposure to market prices. Benston, [19] recommends that in order to gain a competitive advantage or increase profit and success, the enterprise must combine assets and liabilities in a specific and innovative way through the identified outgoing pricing prices. [20] They recommend that valuation models have the appearance of accuracy but are often wrapped which means that the financial position of the enterprise is not shown correctly e.g. cash flow analysis may have room for inaccurate use or in a model where income is estimated at the outset, it is especially dangerous because it is income without a transaction. Barth [21] emphasizes that the financial position of the enterprise is not known exactly if the values of the financial items are not noted correctly and accurately in the PRF. They [22] recommend that comparing enterprise PRFs may have a significant impact on their financial position. [23] Recommend that good financial position increases enterprise revenue, but it should always be looked at the problem of assets presented in the financial statements [24]. But also [25], has emphasized that the relationship of financial ratios to net income may be the premise of the enterprise's financial position. (Rowe, 2010), (Arm & Arun, 2005), (Riaz & Afzal, 2011), (Capillo, Serer, & Frrerer, 2010), (Altman, 1968) and (Beaver, 1966) recommend that net incomes through the cost of spending and the selling price reflected with a Beta and a higher standard error will be affect the growth of inflation in the economy, while [26] have analyzed the importance of assets as a predictor of net income, both of which recommendations reflect the financial position. Bahnimad [27] in his research emphasizes that after the establishment of data of the PRF in programs for all enterprises continues with the calculation of logistic regression and natural logarithm. According Stokes [28], states that sales efficiency or net profit on the income and expenditures statement, financial position, size of the enterprise, assets and total liabilities on the balance sheet, return on equity ratio, asset return ratio are all financial items that will be processed through analysis and the results from regression logistic reflect the financial position of the enterprise. [29] The research was carried out in enterprises of various activities (production and service), then using the suggestions of [30] the variables were calculated, encoding the financial situation and size of the enterprise on 0 and 1. The larger number of enterprises gives a more accurate result [31], also the activity of enterprises in the sample is an important factor to look at their financial position [32]. If the research sample is small, the work is sensitive to errors in results [33] some of the researchers used 50 or more enterprises in the model [34]. The most important studies such as [35, 36, 37, 38 and 39] recommend that errors made by PRF compilers may lead to inaccurate interpretations by [40] for financial position of enterprises. For this reason the model uses data from current net income as suggested by [41], while to make a model of PRFs can be used a new form such as the economic factor for net income through actual logistic regression [42]. They [43] recommend that the model should be based on PRF results, the same opinion have [44] for the reflection of the financial position according to the financial situation and the size of the enterprise. To look at the financial position of the firm, the research from [45] has recommended the simplicity of accounting rules in different countries, or the equivalence of PRF methods used by firms [46]. Concentration to look at the financial position should be done during the financial reporting process [47], but again [48], emphasize that financial reporting practices do not mean being similar to enterprises, but instead it gives recommendations on how to incorporate financial position in profit growth in PRFs. Such a model may be preferred in enterprises that have similar financial statements [49]. A similar model with [50], A has given [51] through the use of two variables (proxies) in PRFs, reflecting the financial position of enterprises. Models are created to research and make recommendations for enterprises of activities and sizes different [52]. The study, by [53], proposes measures of comparability of PRFs between periods, for enterprises that have a negative financial position, similar research has also done [54]. They [55, 56] show that by researching in 27 countries, according De-Franco's opinion, that the comparability of PRFs in similar enterprises helps in reducing asymmetric information, and reflection of the financial position. To reflect the financial situation of enterprises [57] they used the regression of profits during the 2003-2006 return to England, while [58] they used regression of income in re-evaluation during 2001-2008 in 29 countries. [59] During 2003-2007 in 14 EU countries, tested the impact of enterprise size on its financial position. [60] To test the financial position in 46 countries for the period 2001-2007 they used the method based on portfolios changes, while Neel (2015) during the period 2001 -2008 among 41 countries uses the regression of profits in the capital market. To reflect the financial position of the enterprise [61] based on the research of [62] and (Ohlson, 1995) during the period 2002-2007 in 17 European countries they have used price report in the main book and the sales value ratio, while [63] they used PRF evolution theory in United States. Regarding the reflection of the financial position, there are many reports that can be calculated from the financial statements, while the enterprise must identify those that are important for its activity. In this case, [64] recommend that if there is a positive relationship between the liquidity and profit indicator, the total return on assets, the enterprise has success and good financial position in the market. (Doron N & Stephen H. P, 1999) recommend that ROA's long-term trajectory is the best financial result for the health of a company and an indicator of how its decisions turn out. Understanding this trajectory helps enterprises form a winning strategy for a long-term perspective. [65] regarding stability and financial position of enterprises has a similar
opinion with [66] and [67]. The return on assets through the analysis of financial ratios is an important indicator of sustainability of enterprises in the market [69]. Through discriminatory analysis has revealed some of the variables such as sales growth rate, leverage, current ratio, operating costs to sales and vertical integration are very important in determining the success of the enterprise. One of the most preferred indicators to reflect the financial position of the enterprise [69], in his study emphasized that the return on equity (ROE) can be defined as the amount of net income returned as a percentage of shareholders' equity, which was also confirmed. Furthermore, in terms of reflecting financial position according to [70], assets represent everything that a business owns, while liabilities unlike assets have a negative financial value, i.e. payment to be made for financial transactions [71]. Regarding success or failure of enterprises in the market, in the research with title “CVP analysis in manufacturing and service enterprises”, they recommend that applying of techniques cost-volume-profit analysis, during the decision-making process increases the success of enterprises to a large extent. In addition, it was found that the benefits derived from the application of this analysis include: effective cost control, high production and service capacity, and increased profitability, therefore and this research makes an important contribution to the success of enterprises in the face of competitors. [72]. Edmister, in his research, through regression analysis 594 small enterprises between 1954-1969 in 19 different financial reports, which predicted the failure or success of enterprises with an accuracy of 90%. [73]. Based on Beaver's research, [74] conducted an analysis of opportunities according to the risk index, saying that Beaver's rates are inappropriate to predict the financial failure of enterprises. They created a model for predicting financial failure, according to which the dependent variable is subjected to regression analysis by assisting in recommendations on which enterprises are successful and which are unsuccessful [75].

3. NEED OF THE STUDY

All researchers in their study included in this research, analyzed the financial position of enterprises in different aspects according to PRFs, giving recommendations as to which voices influence the success or failure of enterprises depending on their size and activity. Based on these recommendations, afterwards on the model of logistic regression and natural logarithm, will be analyzed by 100 enterprises to come up with new recommendations for this research.

4. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

Some of the objectives of this research are:

- To understand the reports and financial statements of enterprises,
- Depending on their size to see the success or failure in the market,
- To compare non-dependent variables with the dependent variable,
- The study the ability of enterprises for success based on logistic regression and natural logarithm,
- To provide suggestions from the findings of this research for future researchers.

5. SCOPE OF THE STUDY AND THE COLLECTION OF DATA

The research was conducted in 100 enterprises of different activities (manufacturing or service). The data in this research are primary and secondary. The primary data were realized through interviews with financial manager and other managers of enterprises, while the secondary data were collected from internal sources such as financial reports, documents, various profit/loss analysis, the balance sheet, the income and expenditures statement, as well as external sources, the agency for businesses, the auditor's office, the ministry of economic development, etc.

5.1. Plan of data analysis

The data collected from the interview and PRF were studied and analyzed in detail. Considering the procedure of logistical regression analysis and natural logarithm, the necessary data from the financial items are ranked according to the importance they have given to the model regarding the reflection of the financial position of enterprises in the competitive market.

6. THE LOGISTICAL REGRESSION MODEL AND NATURAL LOGARITHM

The scientific paper in the methodological aspect is parted into the following parts:

6.1. Hypotheses

Null and alternative hypotheses which test the validity of the model can be written as follows:

- H0: There is no relationship between the financial position and size of the enterprise
- H1: There is a significant relationship between the financial position and size of the enterprise

The purpose of these hypotheses is new approach to predict the outcome of enterprises success or failure based on financial statements and reports to front of competitors, except variables as are (GJF | MA), also other variables incorporated in them (X1, X2, X3, X4, X5, X6), do they have a greater impact on the success of the large enterprises or small enterprises, and which enterprises have the best financial position in the market? Is this reflection as a result of the financial position or the size of the enterprise? Such a valid approach may facilitate the strategic decision-making of the enterprises authorities to strengthen their market position by watching at their strengths and weaknesses.

6.2. Analysis of findings and interpretation of results through mathematical equations of logistical regression and natural logarithm

The logistic regression model is based on chances and opportunities. Probability is the ratio of the results of a transaction to their total number. In logistic regression, probability represents the ratio of the probabilities of a phenomenon that has not yet occurred. [76] In the United States, [77] it used the logistic regression model for the first time. He estimated that 96% of enterprises will go bankrupt after three years. [78] Used regression analysis to determine the risk of financial failure or financial success by concluding
the accuracy of the 88% model. According to the analysis of logistical regression in the financial data of “Tobacco” enterprise during the period 2005-2012, would it be possible to predict failure? As a result of the study, it was found that financial failure was estimated as 91% before 1 year, 91% before 2 years, and 74.5% before 3 years [79]. Logistic regression, also called logit regression, is a multivariate statistical analysis method that helps predict the dependent variable between two possible options for reflection on the financial position of the enterprises. In this case according to logistical regression and natural logarithm, the maximum probability method (PM) is used, whereas for the control of H0 & H1 converting statistics from L to -2logL is used [80]. In the regression model there is a continuous state for dependent variables, while a normal distribution of independent variables. These conditions are not required in the logistic regression model, which assumes that there are no problems between multiple connections in independent variables. I.e. no variance-covariance matrix is required [81]. Logistic regression analysis for all enterprises is obtained with the dependent variable GjF (financial position) or financial position of enterprises in the market in relationship with the non-dependent variables (enterprise size (MA), financial items from the balance sheet (BGJ), financial items from the income and expenditure statement (BS) or profit /loss statement, and financial ratios indicators. The logistical regression model for manufacturing and service enterprises relates to financial position is:

\[ L = \ln \left( \frac{p_i}{1-p_i} \right) = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + e_1 \]  

(1)

In the above equation L is called Logit. Logistic regression model or Logit name comes from here [82]. The parameters of the logistic regression model for all enterprises are obtained through the Maximum Likelihood technique (ML), while the variables for measuring success or failure are obtained through mathematical equations [83]:

\[ > a(P = GjF) \times b(P(\text{MA}) > (2) \]

Table 1 presents the financial position of enterprises in the market, through natural logarithm and mathematical equations. This table indicates according to the variable of financial position as a successful enterprise are 47 large enterprises and 7 small enterprises out of a total of 54 that have good financial position, while according to the same variable but as unsuccessful enterprises or with very little success are 6 large enterprises and 40 small out of a total of 46.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Status (Financial Position)</th>
<th>Size (MF)</th>
<th>Enterprises</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Big. Ent.</td>
<td>Small. Ent.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful (highest successful enterprises) = 1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsuccessful (less successful or not at all successful enterprises) = 0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Financial position of enterprises in the market through natural logarithm and mathematical equations

Research continues through mathematical equations, the probability of each enterprise succeeding or having a good financial position is:

\[ P(GjF = 1) = \frac{54}{100} = 0.54 \]

The probability of each enterprise that has unsuccessful or has a poor financial position is:

\[ P(GjF = 0) = \frac{46}{100} = 0.46 \]

The probability of each enterprise aims to grow is:

\[ P(\text{MA}) = \frac{53}{100} = 0.53 \]

The probability of non-success or failure of large enterprises is:

\[ P(GjF = 0 | \text{MA} = 1) = \frac{6}{53} = 0.113 \times 100 = 11.32\% \]

The probability of non-success or failure of small enterprises is:

\[ P(GjF = 0 | \text{MA} = 1) = \frac{7}{47} = 0.1489 \times 100 = 14.89\% \]

The probability of non-success or failure of an enterprise or the probability of failure of an enterprise it is equal to the probability rate:

\[ NM(GjF = 1) = \frac{54}{53} = 1 \text{ apo } NM = 1/1 \]  

(3)

The probability of success of a large enterprise is 7.833. This means that the probability of success of a large enterprise is as much as 7.833 or 6 in 47.

\[ [NM(GjF = 1 | MA = 1) = \frac{47}{6} = 7.833 \]

The success rate of a small enterprise is 1.75. This means that the probability of success of a small enterprise is as much as 1.75 or 7 in 40.

\[ [NM(GjF = 1 | MA = 0) = \frac{7}{40} = 1.75 \]

Odds ratios and probabilities to measure the probability of financial statute or financial position according to the size rate of the set of independent variables, are as following:

\[ P(\text{MA} = 1) = \frac{\text{NM(\text{MA} = 1)}}{1 + \text{NM(\text{MA} = 1)}} = \frac{7.833}{1 + 7.833} = 0.887 \]

\[ P(\text{MA} = 1) = \frac{1 - P(\text{MA} = 1)}{\text{NM(\text{MA} = 0)}} = \frac{1 - 0.887}{1.75} = 0.783 \]

\[ P(\text{MA} = 0) = \frac{1 + \text{NM(\text{MA} = 0)}}{\text{NM(\text{MA} = 0)}} = \frac{1 + 0.64}{0.64} = 1.75 \]

\[ P(\text{MA} = 0) = \frac{1 + \text{NM(\text{MA} = 0)}}{1 + \text{NM(\text{MA} = 0)}} = \frac{1 + 0.1489}{0.1489} = 1.029 \]

Taking into account the calculations from the mathematical equations of natural logarithm (Ln), for variables NM (GJ F = 1 | MA = 1) = 7.83 and NM (GJ F = 1 | MA = 0) = 1.75, we achieve the following results:

\[ \ln[NM(GjF = 1 | MA = 1)] = \ln(7.833) = 2.058 \]

\[ \ln[NM(GjF = 1 | MA = 0)] = \ln(1.75) = 0.559 \]

\[ \ln[\text{NM(\text{MA})}] = 0.559 + 2.058 \text{MA} \]  

(4)

\[ \ln[\text{NM(\text{MA})}] = 96.2\% \]
With increasing enterprise size, also increases the natural logarithm of the opportunity for a better financial position in the market. Or the success rate of a large enterprise is higher compared to small enterprises (due to the involvement of a larger number of items and financial transactions).

Mathematical equations according logistical regression model and natural logarithm for independent variables (p) are as following: [94]

a. Equation for large enterprises with financial position 1 (MA | GJF = 1)

\[ \ln [MA(G) = 1|x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_p]] = B_0 + B_1x_1 + B_2x_2 + B_3x_3 + \ldots, + B_px_p \] (5)

\[ \ln [MA(G = 1|x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_p)] = \beta_0 + AT(PBGJ)x_1 + DT(PBGJ)x_2 + TH(PASH)x_3 + FN(PASH)x_4 + \ldots + RF(ROA)x_5 + RF(ROE)x_6 \] (6)

Or

\[ L = \ln \left( \frac{P}{1-P} \right) = \beta_0 + AT(PBGJ)x_1 + DT(PBGJ)x_2 + \ldots + RF(ROE)x_6 \] (7)

b. Equation for small enterprises with financial position 0 (MA | GJF = 0)

\[ \ln [MA(G = 0|x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_p)] = B_0 + B_1x_1 + B_2x_2 + B_3x_3 + \ldots, + B_px_p \] (8)

\[ \ln [MA(G = 0|x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_p)] = \beta_0 + AT(PBGJ)x_1 + DT(PBGJ)x_2 + TH(PASH)x_3 + FN(PASH)x_4 + \ldots + RF(ROA)x_5 + RF(ROE)x_6 \] (9)

Or

\[ L = \ln \left( \frac{P}{1-P} \right) = \beta_0 + AT(PBGJ)x_1 + DT(PBGJ)x_2 + \ldots + RF(ROE)x_6 \] (10)

MA - Enterprise size,
GJF - Financial situation (financial position) of enterprises,
AT (PBGJ) - Active of the balance sheet of enterprises / current and fixed assets (financial liquidity ratio, asset management ratio, profitability ratio),
DT (PBGJ) - liabilities from passive of the sheet balance of enterprises (financial liquidity ratio, debt management ratio),
TH (PASH) - Revenue and expenditure statement or total revenue (asset management ratio, profitability ratio),
RF (ROA) - Return on assets (balance sheet, profitability ratios),
RF (ROE) - Return on equity (balance sheet, profitability ratios),
NF (PASH) - Net profit in the statement of income and expenses (profitability ratio),
e – Exponent equation
ln – Natural logarithm
p – Probability
L – Logistic regression

The mathematical equation according to logistical regression and natural logarithm for all enterprises was initially realized with an independent variable on which other variables of the model or set of variables as mentioned above depend [85].

a. Probability through natural logarithm for successful enterprises

\[ P = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(\beta_0+AT(PBGJ)x_1+DT(PBGJ)x_2+TH(PASH)x_3+\ldots+RF(ROA)x_5+RF(ROE)x_6)}} \] (11)

b. Probability through natural logarithm for unsuccessful enterprises

\[ 1-P = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(\beta_0+AT(PBGJ)x_1+DT(PBGJ)x_2+TH(PASH)x_3+\ldots+RF(ROA)x_5+RF(ROE)x_6)}} \] (12)

c. Probability through natural logarithm for successful and unsuccessful enterprises:

\[ \frac{P}{1-P} = \frac{1+e^{-(\beta_0+AT(PBGJ)x_1+DT(PBGJ)x_2+TH(PASH)x_3+\ldots+RF(ROA)x_5+RF(ROE)x_6)}}{1+e^{-(\beta_0+AT(PBGJ)x_1+DT(PBGJ)x_2+TH(PASH)x_3+\ldots+RF(ROA)x_5+RF(ROE)x_6)}} \] (13)

From the above formulas we mark the logarithm of the model:

\[ \ln \left( \frac{P}{1-P} \right) = \beta_0 + AT(PBGJ)x_1 + DT(PBGJ)x_2 + \ldots + RF(ROE)x_6 \] (15)

From these we understand that with increasing probabilities from 0 to 1, the Log function for all enterprises takes the values -∞ and +∞.

6.3. Analysis of findings and interpretation of results through econometric model of logistic regression and statistical tests in SPSS & R program

6.3.1. Logistic regression analysis with independent metric and categorical variables-Step by step method (Wald)

When there is the problem of multiple connections between these variables, one of the best models used to discover the set of independent variables is the step-by-step logistic regression technique [86]. Benefit of results through logistic regression for variables GJF and MA based on the set of other variables, for 100 enterprises with different activities (manufacturing and service), are presented in the tables as the following:

TABLE2 presents the original values of the dependent variable and other coded values. This table indicates the financial position (highly successful enterprises (1), unsuccessful enterprises or with little success (0), and enterprise size (large enterprises 47/6=54 and small Enterprises 7/40=47). Out of a total of 54 large enterprises, 47 of them are successful while 6 are less successful or close to financial failure. Out of a total of 47 small enterprises, 7 of them succeed, 40 are in risk of bankruptcy or financial failure.

TABLE3 presents iteration history & classification table & variables in the equation. This table indicates the value of the constant term is .260, the -2LogL statistic which includes the independent categorical variable model is 47.99, the degree of
freedom (nk) which includes only the constant term 99 (100-1), and the degree of freedom that includes the term constant and the variable MA 94 (100-6). Here also presented, Wald statistic which test the importance of the financial position of enterprises .839, and Exp (P) statistic which shows the change in the probability rate of the enterprise when the variables are added per unit 1.174. Approximately the model in the first step (S0) has shown a percentage of accuracy of 88%.

**TABLE 4** presents the variables that are not considered in different steps and periods. This table indicates the revaluation of Ki square at the end of the first step is .932 (p=2.1%) and removed $x_1$ (HT -PASH), Ki square at the end of the second step is .881 (p=2.2%) and removed $x_1$ (AT- PBJ), Ki square at the end of the third step is .971 (p=5.9%) and removed $x_2$ (DT- PBJ), Ki square at the end of the fourth step is .923 (p=4.8%) and removed $x_4$ (NF- PASH), Ki square at the end of the fifth step is .786 (p=3.8%) and removed $x_5$ (RF-ROE), Ki square at the end of the sixth step is 1.801 (p=1.8%) and removed $x_5$ (RF-ROA). Unsuccessful enterprises unlike from them that have successfully, in different periods do not enough attention to these financial items. I.e. financial planning and management do not match. Such a thing, also applies to 6 large enterprises.

**TABLE 5** presents the variables that are not considered in different steps and periods. This table indicates in each step the tested parameters in the significance level of 5% (Sig.). To verify the data derived from the model in Table 5, through the mathematical equations of natural logarithm in the first and second step, but also in all other steps the data from Table 4 are used.

The equation of natural logarithm is:

Step 1 (Step, Block, Model) = [-2LogL (Fixed)] - [-2LogL (fixs+X1)] = 47.989 -39.479 = 8.510
Step 2 (Step) = [-2LogL (Fixed +X2)] - [-2LogL (Fixed +X2+X4)] = 39.479 - 33.865 = 5.614
Step 2 (Block) = Step 1 (Block) + Step 2 (Step) = 8.510 + 5.614 = 14.124
Step 2 (Model) = Step 1 (Model) + Step 2 (Step) = 8.510 + 5.614 = 14.124 (16)

The summary model shows that the models obtained in the next steps represent the data well, showing in the sixth step an important linear relationship between the dependent variable and the independent variables of 86.9% or 87%. In this case, the Nagelkerke R2 statistic also shows that there is a relationship between the dependent variable and the independent ones in the value 96.2% or 96%. (.337-.582, .236-.382, 136-182, 432-577, .524-666, .769-.845).

From the equation we conclude: The growth of the enterprise affects its strengthening in the market, so large enterprises that included a large number of transactions have more success than small enterprises. It was highlighted out earlier that at unsuccessful enterprises there is a discrepancy between financial planning and management. Than the results of statistics are Cox & Snell R Square R2 (87%) and Nagelkerke R2 (96%).

**TABLE 6** presents the variables that are not considered in different steps and periods. This table indicates the classification results for each step through the cutoff value and the probabilities provided for the financial situation of enterprises with better financial position and those with poor financial position are: 57% in the first step, 66.0% in the second step, 71.7 in the third step, 79.1 in the fourth step, 89.0% in the fifth step, 90.2% in the sixth step. In steps (1 & 2 & 3) out of 100 successful enterprises 22 are classified as unsuccessful while 24 are classified as successful, in steps (4 & 5) the number of successful enterprises increased to 25, while the number of unsuccessful enterprises decreased to 21. In the last step (6) the financial situation or financial position of successful enterprises is 29, while of the unsuccessful ones are 17. In function of the selection of variables, all variables and constants are important at the level of 5%, but the variables with the highest level of importance are in the sixth step: RF (ROE) 7.339 and NF (PASH) 6.162 (Sig.= 0.24 & 0.13).

Also, variables that are significant but of negative value are DT (PBGJ) and TH (PASH). Unsuccessful enterprises (GJ | MA = 0), must pay their obligations and debt on time, as well as they should make accurate management of sales revenue in order to increases ROE (capital) and net profit. So, enterprises need to more attention to these two variables in order to have a better financial position.

In this case, from the data in **Table 6** (S6) is gained the equation of natural logarithm as follows:

$$
L = \ln \frac{1}{1-\rho} = 7.090 + 0.314 x_4 + 0.213 x_2 - 0.131 x_2 - 0.197 x_3 + 0.312 x_5 + 0.512 x_6
$$

Or

$$
e^{7.090 + 0.314 x_4 + 0.213 x_2 - 0.131 x_2 - 0.197 x_3 + 0.312 x_5 + 0.512 x_6}
$$

From the mathematical equation we can conclude that there is a significant positive relationship between the logarithm of the probability norm and the variables $x_4, x_2, x_5, x_6$, while between the variables $x_2, x_3$ there is a negative relationship. The upper equation achieves the value of the Exp (B) column in the sixth step.

From there we have the calculations:

$e^{7.090} = 1.199$ - constant of the financial position (GJF | MA).

$e^{0.314 x_4} = 1.369$ – With the increasing of one unit in (FN-PASH), the probability of improvement of the financial position (GJ | MA) will be 22.94%, or the probability of success of a large enterprise compared to a small enterprise is 1.4 more times. [0.314 $\rightarrow$ 1.369] GJF (1|0) $>$ 22.94 % | MA (1)$>$ 1.4$>$ MA (0)].

$e^{0.213 x_2} = 1.237$ – With the increasing of one unit to the constant of (AT-PBJ), the probability of improvement of the financial position (GJ | MA) will be 17.22%, or the probability of success of a large enterprise compared to a small enterprise is 1.2 more times. [0.213 $\rightarrow$ 1.237] GJF (1|0) $>$ 17.22 % | MA (1)$>$ 1.2$>$ MA (0)].

$e^{(-0.131 x_2)} = 0.877$- Since we have the negative exponent, with the increasing of one unit to the (DT-PBJ), the probability of success reduction (GJ | MA) will be (-14.94 %), or the probability of non-success of a small enterprise compared to a large enterprise is 0.9 more times. It has been said before that the variable of liabilities and debt poses a risk to enterprises. [- 0.131 $\rightarrow$ 0.877 ] GJF (1|0) $<$ (-14.94)% | MA (1) $<$ 0.9$<$ MA (0)].

$e^{(-0.197 x_3)} = 0.821$- Since we have the negative exponent, with the increasing of one unit to the (HT-PASH), the probability of success reduction (GJ | MA) will be (-24 %), or the probability
of non-success of a small enterprise compared to a large enterprise is 0.8 more times. It has been said before that the variable of sales revenue poses a risk to enterprises. \[0.197 \rightarrow 0.821 \] | \[GJF (10) < (24 \%) \] | \[MA (1) < 0.9 < MA (0)\].

\[e^{0.512x_2} = 1.669\] - With the increasing of one unit to the constant of (ROA-RF), the probability of improvement of the financial position (GJF MA) will be 22.81\%, or the probability of success of a large enterprise compared to a small enterprise is 1.7 more times. \[0.512 \rightarrow 1.669 \] | \[GJF (10) > 22.81 \% \] | \[MA (1) > 1.7 > MA (0)\].

Then, the possibility of the success of a large enterprise with the set of variables (MA = 1) is,

\[P = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(-0.990+0.314x_2+0.213x_3+0.512x_4+1)}} = 0.99 \tag{19a}\]

\[P = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(-0.990+0.314x_2+0.213x_3+0.512x_4+1)}} = 0.42 \tag{19b}\]

And if, the two variables that have shown a negative value result are not improved then the success will be reduced by (0.42\%).

The possibility of the success of a small enterprise with the set of variables (MA = 0)

\[P = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(-0.990)}} = 0.50 \tag{20}\]

Based on the mathematical equation, we conclude that large enterprises are more successful than small enterprises. Through groups (DF = G-1).

The coefficients in the sixth step and their standard errors are: \[x_4, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5, x_6, \] \( 0.314, 0.213, -0.131, -0.197, 0.312, \) and \(0.512\), S.E. \(0.004, 0.009, 0.068, 0.034, 0.161, 0.189\).

Then Wald Statistics are:

\[Wald_{x_4} = (0.314/0.004)^2 = 6.162\]

\[Wald_{x_1} = (0.213/0.009)^2 = 5.601\]

\[Wald_{x_2} = (-0.131/0.068)^2 = 3.711\]

\[Wald_{x_3} = (-0.197/0.034)^2 = 3.357\]

\[Wald_{x_5} = (0.312/0.161)^2 = 3.755\]

\[Wald_{x_6} = (0.512/0.189)^2 = 7.339\]

According to Wald statistics, it can be said that all the logistic regression coefficients in step six are significant at the 5% significance level.

6.3.2. Assessing the suitability of the model in logistic regression

In statistics, it is very important to evaluate the validity of the developed model. In logistic regression this is seen in the distribution of errors (non-standard, standard, Jackknife) relationship measurements and multiple link indicators [87].

Table 7 presents normal probability for deviation values. This table indicates the probability of enterprise success 2 is 95.6\%. The non-standard error (\(e\)) for this enterprise is 0.044 (1-0.956). Data in logistic regression following a normal distribution are well represented.

Now, mathematical operations of normal probability for deviation values are as following:

Non-standard error(\(e_i\)) = (1-\(p_i\)) = 1-0.956= 0.044 (21)

Log error = \(\frac{e_i}{P_i(1-P_i)} = \frac{0.044}{0.956*0.044} = 1.047 \tag{22}\)

Standard error = \(z_i = \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{P_i(1-P_i)} = \frac{0.044}{0.956*0.044} = 0.2145 \tag{23}\)

The value of the standard deviation for successful enterprises or with good financial position in the market is:

\[\text{Deviance} = \sqrt{-2 \ln \ln \left(\frac{1}{p_i}\right)} = \sqrt{-2 \ln \ln (0.998) = 0.0632 \tag{24}\]

The value of the standard deviation for unsuccessful enterprises or with poor financial position in the market is:

\[\text{Deviance} = \sqrt{-2 \ln \ln (1 - p_i)} = \sqrt{-2 \ln \ln (0.543) = 0.543 \tag{25}\]

Leverage- The model includes the effective value 1 and ineffective 0, where in detail for all the analyzed enterprises are shown the number of parameters and the sample size 100 (10% -90% probability).

Cook- \(D_C = z_i^2 \left(\frac{h_i}{1-h_i}\right) = 0.215^2 \left(\frac{0.100}{1-0.100}\right) = 0.011 \tag{26}\)

- \(Z_i\) = standardized error for enterprises
- \(h_i\) = Leverage
- \(Df Beta\)

\(Df_{BETA}(B_0(i)) = B_0 - B_0(i) \) And \(f_{BETA}(B_1(i)) = B_1 - B_1(i) \tag{27}\)

\(B_0 - B_0(i)\) - Parameters for extraction of units from the model of reflecting the success and financial position of the enterprises.

At standard deviation, the last enterprise does not signify a positive result; there is a loss in its variables. At deviance, values are well represented and follow the normal distribution, besides enterprises that are in loss. The leverage is well represented, except in enterprises which do not have an impact on the projected values.(Example. 3,100 etc.). The above recommendations for unsuccessful enterprises should be taken into account, especially for small enterprises.

6.3.3. The confirmation of hypothesis

Alternative hypothesis is confirmed that there is a relationship between the variables (MA & GJF = 1), because the greater the number of financial transactions, the better is the reflection of the financial position of the enterprises. Such a thing in the model is confirmed by large enterprises, described as follows. The probability of (MA | GJF) from the set of independent variables (AT-PBQ | DT-PBQ | FN-PASQ | HT-PASH | RF-ROA | RF-ROE) in enterprises is confirmed by the mathematical equation of logarithm \(\ln (7.833) = 2.058 \& \ln (1.75) = 0.559\). Due of rate of the possibility or the number of transactions they perform, large enterprises have a better reflection of the financial position than small enterprises, and the connectivity of variables is more important in (MA | GJF = 1 than in MA | GJF = 0).

Mathematical equation: \(\ln [NM (GJF = 1 \mid MA)] = 0.559 + 2.058MA - 0.998\]

From the equation we conclude:

With increasing the size of the enterprise, also increases the natural logarithm of the opportunity for a better financial position in the market. Or the success rate of a large enterprise is higher compared to small enterprises (due to the
involvement of a larger number of items and financial transactions). The hypothesis is confirmed for 96.2%. In this case, the null hypothesis is refused because the level of significance of the model is below 5% due to non-inclusion of the number of independent variables and their correlation, while the alternative hypothesis is accepted. The -2LogL statistic drops down since the B coefficients are not zero, and in the model, there is not only the constant term, again the alternative hypothesis is accepted and verified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Original values of the dependent variable and other coded values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data processing for all enterprises</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweight Cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selected Cases Included in Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unselected Cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Iteration history & Classification table & Variables in the equation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iteration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification Table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Percentage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables in the Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 0 Constant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Variables that are not considered in different steps and periods**

| Iteration | -2 Log likelihood | Coefficients |
|-----------|-------------------|
| 1 | 39.479 | AT(PBGJ) |
| 2 | 33.865 | .087 |
| 3 | 28.245 | 1.892 |
| 4 | 25.370 | 6.239 |
| 5 | 22.234 | 5.993 |
| 6 | 19.574 | 4.145 |

**Table 5. Omnibus test of model coefficients & Model Summary & Hosmer and Lemeshow Test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients</th>
<th>Model Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step</td>
<td>Chi-square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 1 Block</td>
<td>8.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>8.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step</td>
<td>Block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td>Block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4</td>
<td>Block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 5</td>
<td>Block</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6. Classification Table & Variables in the Equation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification Table</th>
<th>Observed</th>
<th>Financial situation</th>
<th>Percentage Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Financial situation</td>
<td>(highest successful enterprises)</td>
<td>Unsuccessful (less successful or not at all successful enterprises)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>Successful</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unsuccessful</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>Successful</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unsuccessful</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td>Successful</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unsuccessful</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4</td>
<td>Successful</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unsuccessful</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 5</td>
<td>Successful</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unsuccessful</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 6</td>
<td>Successful</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unsuccessful</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Elaboration of step 6 with the highest percentage**

**Variables in the Equation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 6</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>S. E</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>Df.</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>95% C.I.for EXP(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF(PASH)</td>
<td>.314</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>6.162</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>1.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT(PBGJ)</td>
<td>.213</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>5.601</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>1.237</td>
<td>.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT(PBGJ)</td>
<td>-.131</td>
<td>.068</td>
<td>3.711</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.041</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH(PASH)</td>
<td>.197</td>
<td>.034</td>
<td>3.357</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF(ROA)</td>
<td>.312</td>
<td>.161</td>
<td>3.755</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>1.366</td>
<td>.703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF(ROE)</td>
<td>.512</td>
<td>.189</td>
<td>7.339</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>1.669</td>
<td>.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>7.090</td>
<td>2.385</td>
<td>8.837</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>1.199</td>
<td>.878</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Not repeating the theoretical importance given by many authors of books, papers, reports and other documents included in this research, only the purpose of the hypotheses and the objectives of the research will be presented in conclusions and recommendations. Regarding the purpose of the hypotheses, we have the following conclusions:

- The success of large enterprises compared to small enterprises is higher, and with the increase of the variable (MA) the natural logarithm of the possibility of the variable (GJF) increases in ln [NM (GJF = 1 | MA)] = 0.96%.
- Probability of non-success of enterprises (MA=1|GJF=0) compared to (MA|GJF=0) is smaller \( P(Gjf=0 | MA = 1) = 11.32 \) & \( P(Gjf=0 | MA = 0) = 14.89 \).
- The probability of success of enterprises (MA | GJF = 1) compared to (MA = 0 | GJF = 1) is higher \( NM(Gjf = 1 | MA = 1) = 7.83 \) & \( NM(Gjf = 1 | MA = 0) = 1.75 \).
- The reflection of the success and financial position of the enterprises in the market (GJF = 1 | 0.54) compared to (GJF = 0 | 0.46) is higher for (GJF = 1).
- The opportunity to grow or improved the financial position in the market (GJF = 0 | 1) for enterprises, (MA = 1 | 0.53) compared to (MA = 0 | 0.47) is higher for (MA = 1).
- In step 0 the correlation between the two variables (GJF|MA = 0|1 & 1|0) has an accuracy of over 0.50 or 88%.
- The revaluation values of the coefficients and the testing of the parameters are interrelated and are important in each step between the value 0.005 (.Sig) or 5% (MA | GJF | AT-PBGJ | DT-PBGJ | FN-PASH | HT-PASH | RF- ROA | RF-ROA).
- There is a significant linear relationship between the dependent variable and the independent variables at 76.9%, and the Nagelkerke R2 statistic shows the importance of variables (dependent and non-dependent) for reflecting the success or financial position of enterprises at 85%.
- The variables that are most important for reflecting the success or financial position of enterprises RF (ROA | Sig. = 0.36) and NF (PAS | Sig. = 0.24), while the variables which need to be improved DT (PBGJ | Sig. = 0.68), HT (PASH | Sig. = 0.80).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N.</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Cook</th>
<th>Leverage</th>
<th>Standard errors</th>
<th>Value Df Beta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.998</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.956</td>
<td>.047</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>.891</td>
<td>.037</td>
<td>.231</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>.938</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>.185</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>.038</td>
<td>-.137</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>3.601</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Normal probability for deviation values
• The probability of success of a large enterprise with the set of variables (MA = 1) is 0.99 (\(P = \frac{1}{e^{-(0.312X_4 + 0.213X_5 + 0.314X_6 + 0.512Y + 1)} = 0.99\))

• Compared to 0.50 (MA=0), but if the two variables that have shown a negative value result are not improved then the success will be reduced by (0.42%).

• According to Wald statistics, all coefficients are significant at the 5% significance level for enterprises that shown a positive result in the probability of standard deviation, while enterprises that do not shown a good positive result (GJF \(\mid MA = 1\)) have losses in their variables, i.e. the planning they do does not realize according to the measured variables.

• At the end of the conclusions, based on the PRFs of all enterprises as well as the results derived from the model of logistical regression and natural logarithm through mathematical equations and tabular data it is emphasized that enterprises which have interrelationships between variables (GJF \(\mid MA\)) reflect greater success and better financial position in the market.

7.1. General recommendations
The success rate of large enterprises was confirmed by 94.5 or 95%.
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c. Financial transactions related to net profit,

8. Financial transactions related to the return on equity.
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