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Abstract: In 21st Century, Data is considered as New Oil. Given the spurt of Globalisation followed by Digitization, the size of Data has grown to an extent where classification of Text has become an exacting task. In the myriad of uncertainties task of text classification using Machine Learning can be enriched using various pre-processing techniques like stemming, lemmatization but usage of Term Frequency - Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF) helps further to refinement of text data as TF-IDF produces feature values for training a classifier. To further improvise the classification process, comparison amid the machine learning classification algorithms has been presented in the paper.
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1. INTRODUCTION
According to Reports from a survey undertaken by Ericsson, by 2024, mobile data usage will extend up to 131 exabytes per month. Mobile data is just one of the way data is created given the gamut of digital options available. Given the rapid increase in data text classification plays a vital role in segregating the data into different classes based on the text content and nuances involved in the data. In mathematical terms, it’s a mapping function where f i.e. the classifier, maps text in set x and categories of data in set y.

\[ F : x \rightarrow y \]

Cataloguing of raw text is an extensive process, which needs exacting efforts. Preprocessing of original data makes the text more predictable and analysable for classifiers to work effectively therefore the input data is first channeled through various stages. Cleaning the HTML off from the text using unescap function, removing non-ASCII characters, stopwords and punctuation smoothen the text data and two key preprocessing techniques Stemming and Lemmatisation are also performed to attain the base forms of text and reduce size of corpus and improve text quality to make it more analysable. After the preprocessing stage, Feature extraction from the corpus has to be performed using TF-IDF this vectorization method helps in dimensionality reduction as it takes both the Term Frequency and Inverse Document Frequency into account. After certain extent of refinement, to extricate features from the corpus TF-IDF is used, it helps to reduce the dimensionality of data and makes it fit for preparing the machine learning algorithms.

Classification algorithms – Random Forest, Nearest Neighbours, Linear SVM, Decision Tree, RBF SVM, Neural Net, and Naive Bayes have been used to train on BBC news dataset consisting of 2225 tuples and categorized into 5 classes - business, entertainment, politics, sport, and tech.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY
In the Task of Document classification mining the essential features is critical to do this job we can use diverse preprocessing practices of which TF-IDF is pivot in document classification using Naive Bayes this is emphasised in [1]. Rather than tackling with the raw text inputs converting the content to vector spaces and then applying the Support Vector Machine Classifier has shown good results under different kernel function and gamma parameters[3]. Documents with heterogeneous content like photographs, text and graphs etc. are challenging to handle the heterogeneous content Support Vector Machine Modal is deployed [2]. Document classification requires various filtering preprocessor before performing classification algorithms this decreases the originality of the content. To prevent this filtered classifier C4.5 Decision Tree is used to perform the task of classification. In preprocessing stage, Fayyad and Irani’s discretization method is deployed to discretize numerical attributes into nominal attributes [5]. Classification of News is a complex, which can further delay the classification task due to high feature dimension of data. Softmax Regression algorithm, a generalization of logistic regression, is one way to handle the high feature dimension of data. Thus acquire good classification results [8]. Higher dimensionality and unstructured data gives a skewed classification result, to lessen this effect Deep Learning technique Word2vec is used along with improved TF-IDF to perform the classification task [4]. Classification of huge data has associated problems like sparseness and higher feature dimensions in the extraction method this reduces the models generalization ability. To dodge this problem Deep learning based Bi-LSTM-CNN is employed to get higher accuracy in predicting the class.

3. PROPOSED SYSTEM
Text Classification is performed in 3 progressive stages in an ordered manner:

i. Preprocessing phase
ii. Feature Extraction using TF-IDF

iii. Train and build ML classification algorithms to predict the class of input data.

**Fig. 1. Flowchart of Proposed System**

### 4. METHODOLOGY

#### 4.1 Dataset Selection:

The BBC News dataset consists of articles related to business, entertainment, politics, sport, technology. It consists of 2225 tuples of heterogeneous text content so comprises of real world text classification problems like immense dimensionality unnecessary features, presence of trivial diction, case insensitive content.

**Fig. 2. Dataset Composition**

#### 4.2 Data Preprocessing:

Data preprocessing refers to amending, substituting, scrapping imperfect or inappropriate data from the corpus thus making the data more utilisable and accomplishes a crucial role in functionality and performance of Machine Learning Algorithms. The data has to be preprocessed from all the irrelevant content like Non-ASCII characters, Punctuation, Stopwords in stage 1. Stage 2 of preprocessing includes stemming and lemmatization where the words from text corpus are converted to the base form to understand the context in which the word is used.

**Fig. 3. Stemming transformation**

**Fig. 4. Lemmatization transformation**

#### 4.3 Feature Extraction:

**Term Frequency** – Inverse Document Frequency is deployed for vectorization of text, which can be further used in feature mining. TF-IDF entails of two factors. Firstly, term frequency i.e. total numeral times a given term appears in the text document alongside (per) the total tally of words in the text and secondly, The inverse document frequency which gauges how much information the word provides. It calculates the weight of a given word in the entire document. IDF show how common or rare a given word is across all documents. TF-IDF can be computed as $tf * idf$.

$$TF(t_i) = \frac{c_{ij}}{\sum_k c_{kj}}$$

$c_{ij}$ is the total count of term $t_i$ in a document. $\sum_k c_{ij}$ is the overall count of terms in the entire text.

$$Idf = \log \left( \frac{N}{df_i} \right)$$

$N$ – Total documents taken
$df_i$ – Total documents that have term $t_i$
4.4 Machine Learning Algorithms applied:

1. **Decision Tree:**
   Decision tree is a supervised machine learning algorithm that can be deployed for both Regression and Classification therefore also named as CART algorithm. It uses the concept of Recursive Partitioning or the Divide and Conquer approach to perform the classification problem at hand. Minor changes in the data can have repercussive changes in the entire decision tree structure, which in turn impacts the classification process. Accuracy attained using Decision Tree is 82.86%.

2. **K-Nearest Neighbour**
   K-Nearest Neighbour is a modest and easy use algorithm there’s no necessity to pickle a model, refine several factors, or make further assumptions. But the major disadvantage is that it gets slower when the data set is large and has numerous classifications. k-Nearest Neighbour has its own drawbacks like less capable to handle high dimensionality. Accuracy acquired using k-Nearest Neighbour is 94.36%.

3. **SVM Linear kernel and RBF kernel**
   Support Vector Machine uses the concept of Hyperplane and maximising the margin to segregate the classes. SVM deploys kernels to map the input spaces to feature spaces and perform the classification using hyperplane. SVM using Linear Kernel outperforms RBF kernel because of lot of Features in the training data. A total of 15384 features are extracted from data set. SVM using Linear Kernel performed at 97.18% accuracy whereas SVM RBF kernel performed slightly less accurate 96%.

4. **Naïve Bayes:**
   Naïve Bayes algorithm is centred on Bayesian classification techniques hence rely on equation describing the association of restricted probabilities of statistical quantities. The uniqueness of Naïve Bayes is even if the features are related to each other, a Naïve Bayes classifier would deliberate all of these properties individually when computing the probability of a specific outcome.

   \[ P(X|\text{features}) = \frac{P(\text{features} | X).P(X)}{P(\text{features})} \]

5. **Random Forest:**
   The Random forest is a blend of many decision trees and centred on ensemble machine learning techniques like Bootstrap Aggregation or bagging. Two key features of Random Forest is that Training data points are sampled while building trees and key features are extracted even when dimensionality is high. But Random Forest takes up large memory space when the data is huge because of tree size. Random forests acquired 95.53% accuracy.

6. **Neural-Networks Multi-layer Perceptron classifier:**
   The basic Neural network Multi-layer Perceptron are comprised of one or more hidden layers made of neurons with specific weights and activation functions. Performance of Multi-layer perceptron classifier is 97.65%, highest among all the ML algorithms because of ability to extract informative features from heterogeneous data and can learn nonlinear functions.

4.5 Performance Measure:
   Various evaluations metrics are used to make a conclusive result:

   1. **Accuracy:** Accuracy is the fraction of correct predictions and total predictions made by the classifier.
      \[ \text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} \]
      Where TP = True positive, TN = True Negative, FP = False Positive, FN = False Negative.

   2. **Kappa:** Kappa Score measures inter-rater reliability, Kappa Score of range 0.81 – 0.99 means near perfect agreement.
      \[ k = \frac{k_0 - k_e}{1 - k_e} = 1 - \frac{k_0}{1 - k_e} \]
      Where:
      \[ k_0 = \text{The relative detected agreement between raters.} \]
      \[ k_e = \text{The hypothetical probability of chance agreement.} \]

   3. **Precision:** Quantity of positive identifications that are really exact or True.
      \[ \text{Precision} = \frac{\sum \text{True Positive}}{\sum \text{Total Positive condition}} \]

   4. **Recall:** Quantity of actual positives was identified right.
      \[ \text{Recall} = \frac{\sum \text{True Positive}}{\sum \text{Condition Positive}} \]

   5. **F1 Score:** Transfers the equilibrium between the precision and recall. The F1 score is the harmonic mean of the precision and recall.
      \[ F1 Score = 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \]
5. RESULTS

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithms</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Kappa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decision Tree</td>
<td>85.68</td>
<td>81.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>94.36</td>
<td>92.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Forest</td>
<td>95.53</td>
<td>93.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM - RBF</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>94.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naïve Bayes</td>
<td>96.47</td>
<td>95.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM - Linear</td>
<td>97.18</td>
<td>96.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural Network</td>
<td>98.36</td>
<td>97.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All values are in percentages*

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithms</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F1 score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decision Tree</td>
<td>86.17</td>
<td>84.63</td>
<td>85.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>94.54</td>
<td>94.26</td>
<td>94.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Forest</td>
<td>94.96</td>
<td>94.33</td>
<td>94.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM - RBF</td>
<td>96.46</td>
<td>95.63</td>
<td>95.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naïve Bayes</td>
<td>96.64</td>
<td>96.31</td>
<td>96.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM - Linear</td>
<td>97.14</td>
<td>97.19</td>
<td>97.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural Network</td>
<td>98.33</td>
<td>98.20</td>
<td>98.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All values are in percentages*

6. CONCLUSION

Groundbreaking Ideas are being projected on online platforms and substantial headways have been made in other applications like Speech Recognitions, Financial applications, Pattern Recognition, Text Recognition. Neural Networks using Multi-layer Perceptron composed of hidden layers where Neurons as basic unit, has attained an accuracy of 98.36%. Adam solver - a stochastic gradient-based optimizer is used with Hidden layer size attribute of MLP classifier fixed at [100,100], alpha is given a value 1, and Maximum Iterations are fixed at 400. With these parameters in hand the Neural Network-MLP has attained the highest accuracy in Text Classification task among all the Classifiers compared. Unlike single layer perceptron that is restricted to computing a single line of separation among classes, Multi-Layer Perceptron Performs best to obtain valuable features from heterogeneous data and can work with nonlinear functions. Neural Network-MLP has proved competent in Text Classification because of its Generalization and Fault Tolerance ability and thus improved the accurateness amid all classifications.
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